arXiv:1610.00284v3 [math.RT] 14 Dec 2016

WHITTAKER SUPPORTS FOR REPRESENTATIONS OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS

RAUL GOMEZ, DMITRY GOUREVITCH, AND SIDDHARTHA SAHI

Abstract. Let F be either $\mathbb{R}$ or a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let G be a finite central extension of the group of F-points of a reductive group defined over F. Also let π be a smooth representation of G (Fréchet of moderate growth if $F = \mathbb{R}$). For each nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}$ we consider a certain Whittaker quotient $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ of π. We define the Whittaker support $\mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ to be the set of maximal $\mathcal{O}$ among those for which $\pi_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 0$.

In this paper we prove that all $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ are quasi-admissible nilpotent orbits, generalizing some of the results in [Moe96, JLS]. If F is p-adic and π is quasi-cuspidal then we show that all $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ are F-distinguished, i.e. do not intersect the Lie algebra of any proper Levi subgroup of G defined over F.

We also give an adaptation of our argument to automorphic representations, generalizing some results from [GRS03, Shen16, JLS, Cai] and confirming a conjecture from [Gin06].

Our methods are a synergy of the methods of the above-mentioned papers, and of our preceding paper [GGS].

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Additional results 3
1.2. Structure of the paper 3
1.3. Acknowledgements 5
2. Preliminaries 5
2.1. Notation 5
2.2. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples 5
2.3. Schwartz induction 6
2.4. Oscillator representations of the Heisenberg group 7
2.5. Degenerate Whittaker models 7
2.6. Covering groups 9
3. Some archimedean technical lemmas 9
3.1. Proof of Proposition 3.0.1 11
4. Proof of Theorem D 12
4.1. Basic comparison lemmas 13
4.2. Key propositions 14
4.3. Proof of Theorem D 16
5. Proof of Theorems B and C 17
5.1. Proof of Theorem C 17
5.2. Proof of Theorem B 18
6. Proof of Theorem A, and relation to admissible and special orbits 18

Date: December 15, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Fourier coefficient, wave-front set, oscillator representation, Heisenberg group, metaplectic group, admissible orbit, distinguished orbit, cuspidal representation, automorphic form. 2010 MS Classification: 20G05, 20G20, 20G25, 20G30, 20G35, 22E27, 22E46, 22E50, 22E55, 17B08.

6.1. Proof of Theorem A 18
6.2. Admissible, quasi-admissible and special orbits 19
7. Global setting 22
References 23

1. Introduction

The study of Whittaker and degenerate Whittaker models for representations of reductive groups over local fields evolved in connection with the theory of automorphic forms (via their Fourier coefficients), and has found important applications in both areas. See for example [Sh74, NPS73, Kos78, Kaw85, Ya86, Wall88, Gin06, Jia07, GRS11, Gin14].

Let F be either $\mathbb{R}$ or a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let G be a finite central extension of the group of F-points of a connected reductive algebraic group defined over F. Let $\mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$ denote the category of smooth representations of G (see §2.3 below). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ denote its dual space. For every coadjoint nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ let be the corresponding generalized Whittaker quotient of π (see §2.5 below). Let $\mathrm{WO}(\pi)$ denote the set of all nilpotent orbits $\mathcal{O}$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ the set of maximal orbits in $\mathrm{WO}(\pi)$ with respect to the closure ordering.

We recall the notion of admissible nilpotent orbit. It has to do with splitting of a certain metapletic double cover of the centralizer G_φ for any φ in the orbit. We also define a weaker notion of a quasi-admissible orbit. See §2.6 below for both notions.

For split p-adic groups, admissibility is also related to the notion of a special nilpotent orbit in the sense of Lusztig (see §6.2 below). In particular, for p-adic classical groups the two notions are equivalent ([Nev99]).

Theorem A (§6)**.** *Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$ and let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$. Then $\mathcal{O}$ is a quasi-admissible orbit.*

Note that in the Archimedean case, $\mathcal{O}$ is not always admissible, *e.g.* for minimal representations of $U(2,1)$, see §6.2 below. The notions of admissible and quasi-admissible also differ for the split real forms of E_7 and E_8, though we do not know whether the non-admissible quasi-admissible orbits appear in Whittaker supports of representations. For the symplectic and orthogonal groups the two notions are equivalent.

Proposition 1.0.1 (§6.2)**.** *Let G be either $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$, or $O(V)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ (for a quadratic space V over F), and let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a nilpotent orbit. Then the following are equivalent.*

(a) $\mathcal{O}$ is admissible
(b) $\mathcal{O}$ is quasi-admissible
(c) $\mathcal{O}$ is special

We deduce this proposition from [Oht91a, Nev99]. It is possible that the notions of admissible and quasi-admissible are equivalent for all G in the case when F is non-archimedean. In this case, and under the additional assumption that G is classical, it is shown in [Moe96, JLS] that all $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ are admissible, for any $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$. For exceptional G, a slightly weaker result is shown in [JLS].

For p-adic F it is conjectured that if G is algebraic then all orbits in $\mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ are special. For classical G this follows from [Moe96, JLS]. For $G = G_2(F)$ this follows from [JLS, LS08]. For $F = \mathbb{R}$ the analogous statement does not hold. Namely, [Vog94] constructs a small unitary irreducible representation π of $G_2(\mathbb{R})$. We show in §6.2 that $\mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ is also small, i.e. consists of the minimal orbit for G_2. This orbit is non-special but admissible. For classical algebraic

groups over all local fields, all special orbits are quasi-admissible. It is possible that this holds for all groups.

It is quite probable that if G is algebraic and π is admissible and has integral infinitesimal character then all $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ are special, cf. [BV82, Theorem D] and [BV83, Theorem 1.1].

We also prove that for quasi-cuspidal $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\infty}(G)$, the orbits in $\mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ are F-distinguished. Here, F is non-archimedean, and π is quasi-cuspidal if all its Jacquet reductions vanish (i.e. $r_P\pi = 0$ for any parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$) and a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is F-distinguished if the corresponding orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ does not intersect the Lie algebra of any proper Levi subgroup $L \subset G$.

Theorem B (§5.2)**.** *Let F be non-archimedean and $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\infty}(G)$ be a quasi-cuspidal representation. Then all $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ are F-distinguished.*

For classical G, it was shown in [Moe96] that all the orbits in the Whittaker support of all tempered admissible (finitely generated) π are F-distinguished. This was extended to the case $F = \mathbb{R}$ in [Har12].
We systematically use the "root exchange" technique of [GRS11, Ch. 7]. For $F = \mathbb{R}$ we also use some properties of modules over algebras of Schwartz functions, proven in [dCl91].

1.1. **Additional results.** Let $\gamma = (f, h, e)$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. Let G_γ be the centralizer of γ in G, and $\tilde{G}_\gamma$ be its metaplectic cover (see §2.6 below). Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be given by the Killing form pairing with f. Then $\tilde{G}_\gamma$ acts on the generalized Whittaker quotient $\pi_\varphi = \pi_\gamma$ (see §2.6 below).

We denote by M_γ the subgroup of G_γ generated by the unipotent elements. Let $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ denote the preimage of M_γ under the projection $\widetilde{G_\gamma} \to G_\gamma$.

Theorem C (§5.1)**.** *Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\infty}(G)$ and assume that $G \cdot \varphi \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$. Then the action of $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ on π_φ is locally finite.*

Let $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple element that commutes with γ, such that all the eigenvalues of the adjoint action of Z are rational. Let $S := Z + h$. Following [MW87] we attach to (S, φ) a certain degenerate Whittaker quotient $\pi_{S,\varphi}$. If $Z = 0$ then this is the generalized (a.k.a. neutral) Whittaker quotient (see §2.5 for the definitions).

Theorem D (§4)**.** *Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\infty}(G)$ and assume that $G \cdot \varphi \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$. Then $\pi_{S,\varphi} \neq 0$. Moreover, if F is non-archimedean then the epimorphism $\pi_\varphi \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{S,\varphi}$ constructed in* [GGS, Theorem A] *is an isomorphism.*

The special case of p-adic F and admissible π follows from [MW87, Var14, GGS].

We also provide global analogs of Theorems A-D, see §7. These analogs generalize several results from [GRS03, Shen16, Cai, JLS].

1.2. **Structure of the paper.** In §2 we give the necessary preliminaries on $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples, smooth representations, oscillator representations, Schwartz induction, generalized and degenerate Whittaker models and covering groups.

In §3 we prove several statements on non-generic $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\infty}(B)$. Here, B is a Borel subgroup of the metaplectic group $\widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{R})}$, and we say that π is non-generic if it has no non-zero functionals equivariant under the nilradical N of B by a non-trivial unitary character. Over p-adic fields, [BZ76, Lemma 5.10] implies that the action of N on π is trivial. Over $\mathbb{R}$ we prove in Proposition 3.0.1 that for any non-generic π, the action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of N on π^* is locally nilpotent.

In §4 we prove Theorem D. The proof uses the epimorphism $\mathcal{W}_\varphi \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}$ constructed in [GGS]. Let us recall this construction. Consider a deformation $S_t = h + tZ$, and denote by

$\mathfrak{u}_t$ the sum of eigenspaces of $ad(S_t)$ with eigenvalues at least 1. We call a rational number $0 < t < 1$ *regular* if $\mathfrak{u}_t = \mathfrak{u}_{t+\varepsilon}$ for any small enough rational ε, and *critical* otherwise. Note that there are finitely many critical numbers, and denote them by $t_1 < \cdots < t_n$. Denote also $t_0 := 0$ and $t_{n+1} := 1$. For each t we define two subalgebras $\mathfrak{l}_t, \mathfrak{r}_t \subset \mathfrak{u}_t$. Both $\mathfrak{l}_t$ and $\mathfrak{r}_t$ are maximal isotropic subspaces with respect to the form ω_φ, $\mathfrak{r}_t$ contains all the eigenspaces of Z in $\mathfrak{u}_t$ with positive eigenvalues and $\mathfrak{l}_t$ contains all the eigenspaces with negative eigenvalues. Note that the restrictions of φ to $\mathfrak{l}_t$ and $\mathfrak{r}_t$ define characters of these subalgebras. Let $L_t := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{l}_t)$ and $R_t := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{r}_t)$ denote the corresponding subgroups and χ_φ denote their characters defined by φ. The Stone-von-Neumann theorem implies

$$\mathcal{W}_{S_t,\varphi} \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{L_t}^G(\chi_\varphi) \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{R_t}^G(\chi_\varphi).$$

We show that for any $0 \le i \le n$, $\mathfrak{r}_{t_i} \subset \mathfrak{l}_{t_{i+1}}$. This gives a natural epimorphism

$$\mathcal{W}_{S_{t_i},\varphi} \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{L_{t_i}}^G(\chi_\varphi) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{R_{t_i}}^G(\chi_\varphi) \simeq \mathcal{W}_{S_{t_{i+1}},\varphi}.$$

Altogether, we get

$$\mathcal{W}_{h,\varphi} = \mathcal{W}_{S_{t_0},\varphi} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{S_{t_1},\varphi} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{S_{t_{n+1}},\varphi} = \mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}.$$

This sequence of epimorphisms naturally defines a sequence of epimorphisms

$$\pi_{h,\varphi} = \pi_{S_{t_0},\varphi} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{S_{t_1},\varphi} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{S_{t_{n+1}},\varphi} = \pi_{S,\varphi}. \tag{1}$$

We see that for each i, $\pi_{S_{t_{i+1}},\varphi}$ is the quotient of $\pi_{S_{t_i},\varphi}$ by the group $A_i := L_{t_{i+1}}/R_t$, that we show to be commutative. By Proposition 3.0.1 and [BZ76, Lemma 5.10] discussed above, in order to prove the theorem it is enough to show that $\pi_{S_{t_i},\varphi}$ is a non-generic representation of A_i. For that purpose we show that every unitary character of A_i is given by some $\varphi' \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ with $ad^*(S_{t_{i+1}})\varphi' = -\varphi'$ such that φ' does not lie in the tangent space to $\mathcal{O}$ at φ. We then define a quasi-Whittaker quotient $\pi_{S_{t_{i+1}},\varphi,\varphi'}$, and show that its dual is the space of $(A_i, \chi_{\varphi'})$-equivariant functionals on $\pi_{S_{t_i},\varphi}$. Then we generalize (1) to quasi-Whittaker quotients, construct some additional epimorphisms and deduce the vanishing of $\pi_{S_{t_{i+1}},\varphi,\varphi'}$ from the vanishing of $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}$ for all $\mathcal{O}' \neq \mathcal{O}$ with $\mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}'}$. We find quasi-Whittaker quotients to be an important new notion. For an additional evidence for that see Remark 4.1.3.

To prove Theorem C we show in §5.1 that the action to any subgroup of $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$ isomorphic to a quotient of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}$ is locally finite. By a corollary from Proposition 3.0.1 and [BZ76, Lemma 5.10] it is enough to show that it is non-generic. To show that we choose an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple (e', h', f') in the Lie algebra of such a subgroup and let $\varphi' \in \mathfrak{g}$ denote the nilpotent element given by the Killing form pairing with f'. Then we deduce the non-genericity from the vanishing of the quasi-Whittaker quotient $\pi_{(h+h'/2,\varphi,\lambda\varphi')}$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$.

In §5.2 we deduce Theorem B from Theorem D, by way of contradiction. Namely, for any not F-distinguished $\mathcal{O}$ we find a Whittaker pair (S, φ) with φ in $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\pi_{S,\varphi}$ is a quotient of a Jacquet module of π, and thus vanishes. By Theorem D we get $\mathcal{O} \notin \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$.

In §6 we discuss quasi-admissible orbits. In §6.1 we deduce Theorem A from Theorem C in the following way. We first note that $\mathcal{W}_\varphi(\pi)$ is a genuine representation of $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$, which by Theorem C has a finite-dimensional irreducible subrepresentation ρ. Then we construct from ρ a finite-dimensional genuine representation of $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$ and extend it trivially to $\widetilde{G_\varphi}$. In §6.2 we state several geometric results from [Nev99, Nev02, Noe01a, Oht91a, PT04] and discuss the connection between the notions of special, admissible and quasi-admissible. We also deduce from Theorem C and from [Mat87] that the Whittaker support of minimal representations is also minimal.

In §7 we formulate global analogs of Theorems A-D and explain how to adapt the proofs from §4-6 to the global case. For example, [BZ76, Lemma 5.10] is replaced by the Fourier decomposition. Our exposition follows [HS16, Chapter 5].

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** We thank Joseph Bernstein, David Ginzburg, Maxim Gurevich, Henrik Gustafsson, Anthony Joseph, Dihua Jiang, Joseph Hundley, David Kazhdan, Axel Kleinschmidt, Erez Lapid, Baying Liu, Daniel Persson, Gordon Savin, Eitan Sayag, and David Soudry for fruitful discussions.

D.G. was partially supported by ERC StG grant 637912, and ISF grant 756/12.

Part of the work on this paper was done during the visit of the three authors to the Max Planck Institute fur Mathematik in Bonn. We thank the administration of the MPI for the invitation and for wonderful working conditions. Another part of this paper was written while two of us participated in the program "Automorphic forms, mock modular forms and string theory" at the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook University. We are grateful to the administration of the SCGP and the organizers of the program for wonderful working conditions and for very interesting and helpful talks and discussions on the topic.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notation.** Let F be either $\mathbb{R}$ or a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra over F. We say that an element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$ is *rational semi-simple* if its adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues in $\mathbb{Q}$. For a rational semi-simple element S and a rational number r we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_r^S$ the r-eigenspace of the adjoint action of S and by $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq r}^S$ the sum $\bigoplus_{r' \geq r} \mathfrak{g}_{r'}^S$. We will also use the notation $(\mathfrak{g}^*)_r^S$ and $(\mathfrak{g}^*)_{\geq r}^S$ for the corresponding grading and filtration of the dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^*$. For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ or $X \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_X$ the centralizer of X in $\mathfrak{g}$, and by G_X the centralizer of X in G.

If (f, h, e) is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$, we will say that e is a nil-positive element for h, f is a nil-negative element for h, and h is a neutral element for e. For a representation V of (f, h, e) we denote by V^e the space spanned by the highest-weight vectors and by V^f the space spanned by the lowest-weight vectors.

From now on we fix a non-trivial unitary additive character

$$\chi : F \to \mathrm{S}^1 \tag{2}$$

such that if $F = \mathbb{R}$ is archimedean we have $\chi(x) = \exp(2\pi i x)$ and if F is non-archimedean the kernel of χ is the ring of integers.

2.2. **$\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples.** We will need the following lemma which summarizes several well known facts about $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples.

Lemma 2.2.1 (See [Bou75, §11] or [Kos59])**.**

(i) Any nilpotent element is the nil-positive element of some $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$.

(ii) If h has a nil-positive element then e is a nil-positive element for h if and only if $e \in \mathfrak{g}_2^h$ and $ad(e)$ defines a surjection $\mathfrak{g}_0^h \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^h$. The set of nil-positive elements for h is open in $\mathfrak{g}_2^h$.

(iii) If e is nilpotent then h is a neutral element for e if and only if $e \in \mathfrak{g}_2^h$ and $h \in \mathrm{Im}(ad(e))$. All such h are conjugate under G_e.

(iv) If (f, h, e) and (f', h, e) are $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples then $f = f'$.

(v) If (f, h, e) is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple and Z commutes with two of its elements then it commutes also with the third one.

It is easy to see that the lemma continues to hold true if we replace the nil-positive elements by nil-negative ones (and $\mathfrak{g}_2^h$ by $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}^h$).

Definition 2.2.2. We will say that $h \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a neutral element for $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ if h has a nil-positive element in $\mathfrak{g}$, $\varphi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)_{-2}^h$, and the linear map $\mathfrak{g}_0^h \to (\mathfrak{g}^*)_{-2}^h$ given by $x \mapsto ad^*(x)(\varphi)$ is an epimorphism. We also say that $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a neutral element for $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Note that if we identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$ in a G-equivariant way and assume $\varphi \neq 0$, this property becomes equivalent to φ being a nilnegative element for h, or $-h$ being a neutral element for φ.

2.3. **Schwartz induction.** For non-archimedean F we will work with l-groups, *i.e.* Hausdorff topological groups that have a basis for the topology at the identity consisting of open compact subgroups. This generality includes F-points of algebraic groups defined over F, and their finite covers (see [BZ76]).

For $F = \mathbb{R}$ we will work with affine Nash groups, *i.e.* groups that are given in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by semi-algebraic equations, and so is the graph of the multiplication map. This generality includes $\mathbb{R}$-points of algebraic groups defined over $\mathbb{R}$, and their finite covers (see [dCl91, AG08, Sun15, FS16]).

Notation 2.3.1. If G is an l-group, we denote by $\mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$ the category of smooth representations of G in complex vector spaces. For $V, W \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$, $V \otimes W$ will denote the usual tensor product over $\mathbb{C}$ and V^* will denote the linear dual.

If G is an affine Nash group, we denote by $\mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$ the category of smooth nuclear Fréchet representations of G of moderate growth. This is essentially the same definition as in [dCl91, §1.4] with the additional assumption that the representation spaces are nuclear (see e.g. [Tre67, §50]). For $V, W \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$, $V \otimes W$ will denote the completed projective tensor product and V^* will denote the continuous linear dual, endowed with the strong dual topology.

Definition 2.3.2. If G is an l-group, $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup and $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(H)$, we denote by $\mathrm{ind}_H^G(\pi)$ the smooth compactly-supported induction as in [BZ76, §2.22].

If G is an affine Nash group, $H \subset G$ a closed Nash subgroup and $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(H)$, we denote by $\mathrm{ind}_H^G(\pi)$ the Schwartz induction as in [dCl91, §2]. More precisely, in [dCl91] du Cloux considers the space $\mathcal{S}(G, \pi)$ of Schwartz functions from G to the underlying space of π, and defines a map from $\mathcal{S}(G, \pi)$ to the space $C^\infty(G, \pi)$ of all smooth π-valued functions on G by $f \mapsto \overline{f}$, where

$$\overline{f}(x) = \int_{h \in H} \pi(h) f(xh) dh,$$

and dh denotes a fixed left-invariant measure on H. The Schwartz induction $\mathrm{ind}_H^G(\pi)$ is defined to be the image of this map. Note that $\mathrm{ind}_H^G(\pi) \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$.

From now till the end of the subsection let G be either an l-group or an affine Nash group, and $H' \subset H \subset G$ be closed (Nash) subgroups.

Lemma 2.3.3 ([BZ76, Proposition 2.25(b)] and [dCl91, Lemma 2.1.6])**.** *For any $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(H')$ we have*

$$\mathrm{ind}_{H'}^G(\pi) \simeq \mathrm{ind}_H^G \mathrm{ind}_{H'}^H(\pi).$$

Corollary 2.3.4. *For any $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(H)$ we have a natural epimorphism $\mathrm{ind}_{H'}^G(\pi|_{H'}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{ind}_H^G(\pi)$.*

Definition 2.3.5. For $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$, denote by π_G the space of coinvariants, i.e. quotient of π by the intersection of kernels of all G-invariant functionals. Explicitly,

$$\pi_G = \pi / \overline{\{\pi(g)v - v \,|\, v \in \pi,\, g \in G\}},$$

where the closure is needed only for $F = \mathbb{R}$.

Note that if $F = \mathbb{R}$ and G is connected then $\pi_G = \pi/\overline{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}\pi}$ which in turn is equal to the quotient of $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$ by the closure of zero.

Lemma 2.3.6. *Let $\rho \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(H)$, $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$. Consider the diagonal actions of H on $(\pi \otimes \rho)$ and of G on $\pi \otimes \mathrm{ind}_H^G(\rho)$. Then $(\pi \otimes \rho\Delta_H\Delta_G^{-1})_H = (\pi \otimes \mathrm{ind}_H^G(\rho))_G$.*

This lemma follows from the following version of Frobenius reciprocity.

Lemma 2.3.7 ([BZ76, Proposition 2.29] and [GGS, Lemma 2.3.4])**.** *Let $\rho \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(H)$, $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{ind}_H^G(\rho), \pi^*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_H(\rho, \pi^*\Delta_H^{-1}\Delta_G),$$

where Δ_H and Δ_G denote the modular functions of H and G.

2.4. **Oscillator representations of the Heisenberg group.**

Definition 2.4.1. Let W_n denote the 2n-dimensional F-vector space $(F^n)^* \oplus F^n$ and let ω be the standard symplectic form on W_n. The Heisenberg group H_n is the algebraic group with underlying algebraic variety $W_n \times F$ with the group law given by

$$(w_1, z_1)(w_2, z_2) = (w_1 + w_2, z_1 + z_2 + 1/2\omega(w_1, w_2)).$$

Note that $H_0 = F$.

Definition 2.4.2. Let χ be the additive character of F, as in (2). Extend χ trivially to a character of the commutative subgroup $0 \oplus F^n \oplus F \subset H_n$. The oscillator representation ϖ_χ is the unitary induction of χ from $0 \oplus F^n \oplus F$ to H_n. Define the smooth oscillator representation σ_χ to be the space of smooth vectors in ϖ_χ.

Lemma 2.4.3 (see *e.g.* [GGS, Corollary 2.4.5])**.** $\sigma_\chi = \mathrm{ind}_{0\oplus F^n\oplus F}^{H_n}(\chi)$

Theorem 2.4.4 (Stone-von-Neumann)**.** *The oscillator representation ϖ_χ is the only irreducible unitary representation of H_n with central character χ.*

Corollary 2.4.5. *Let $L \subset W$ be a Lagrangian subspace. Extend χ trivially to the abelian subgroup $L \oplus F \subset H_n$. Then $\mathrm{ind}_{L\oplus F}^{H_n} \chi \cong \sigma_\chi$.*

2.5. **Degenerate Whittaker models.** Let G be a finite central extension of the group G^{alg} of F-points of a reductive algebraic group defined over F. Let G^{ad} denote the corresponding adjoint algebraic group.

Lemma 2.5.1 ([MW95, Appendix I])**.** *Let $U \subset G^{\mathrm{alg}}$ be a unipotent subgroup, and $\hat{U}$ be the preimage of U in G. Then there exists a unique open subgroup $U' \subset \hat{U}$ that projects isomorphically onto U.*

We will therefore identify the unipotent subgroups of G^{alg} with their liftings in G.

Definition 2.5.2. (i) A *Whittaker pair* is an ordered pair (S, φ) such that $S \in \mathfrak{g}$ is rational semi-simple, and $\varphi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^S_{-2}$. Given such a Whittaker pair, we define the space of *degenerate Whittaker models* $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}$ in the following way: let $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}^S_{\geq 1}$. Define an anti-symmetric form ω_φ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\omega_\varphi(X, Y) := \varphi([X, Y])$. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the radical of $\omega_\varphi|_{\mathfrak{u}}$. Note

that $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{n}$ are nilpotent subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^S_{\geq 2} \subset \mathfrak{n}$. Let $U := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{u})$ and $N := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})$ be the corresponding nilpotent subgroups of G. Let $\mathfrak{n}' := \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$, $N' := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{n}')$. If $\varphi = 0$ we define

$$\mathcal{W}_{S,0} := \mathrm{ind}_U^G(\mathbb{C}). \tag{3}$$

Assume now that φ is non-zero. Then U/N' has a natural structure of a Heisenberg group, and its center is N/N'. Let χ_φ denote the unitary character of N/N' given by $\chi_\varphi(\exp(X)) := \chi(\varphi(X))$. Let σ_φ denote the oscillator representation of U/N' with central character χ_φ, and σ'_φ denote its trivial lifting to U. Define

$$\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi} := \mathrm{ind}_U^G(\sigma'_\varphi). \tag{4}$$

(ii) For a nilpotent element $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, define the *generalized Whittaker model* $\mathcal{W}_\varphi$ corresponding to φ to be $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}$, where S is a neutral element for φ if $\varphi \neq 0$ and $S = 0$ if $\varphi = 0$. We will also call $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}$ *neutral degenerate Whittaker model*. By Lemma 2.2.1 $\mathcal{W}_\varphi$ depends only on the coadjoint orbit of φ, and does not depend on the choice of S. Thus we will also use the notation $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{O}$ for a nilpotent coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$. See [GGS, §5] for a formulation of this definition without choosing S. We will call the pair (S,φ) a *neutral* Whittaker pair if S is a neutral element for φ.

(iii) For $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$ define the degenerate and generalized Whittaker quotients of π by

$$\pi_{S,\varphi} := (\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi} \otimes \pi)_G \text{ and } \pi_\varphi := (\mathcal{W}_\varphi \otimes \pi)_G. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 2.5.3. *Let $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{g}^S_{\geq 1}$ be a maximal isotropic subalgebra and $L := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{l})$. Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$. Then*

$$\pi_{S,\varphi} \cong (\pi \otimes \chi_\varphi)_L.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.4.5 and Lemma 2.3.3 we have $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi} \cong \mathrm{ind}_L^G(\chi_\varphi)$. Using Lemma 2.3.6 we obtain

$$\pi_{S,\varphi} \cong (\mathrm{ind}_L^G(\chi_\varphi) \otimes \pi)_G \cong (\pi \otimes \chi_\varphi)_L.$$

□

Slightly different degenerate Whittaker models are considered in [GGS] and denoted $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}(\pi)$. By Lemma 2.5.3 and [GGS, Lemma 2.5.2] they relate to $\pi_{S,\varphi}$ by $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}(\pi) = \pi^*_{S,\varphi}$. We changed the notion in this paper since for p-adic F, $\pi_{S,\varphi}$ are the models considered in [MW87, Var14] and for $F = \mathbb{R}$, $\pi_{S,\varphi}$ are (nuclear) Fréchet spaces.

Remark 2.5.4. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, one can define $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}$ for any semi-simple S with real eigenvalues in the same way, and all our proofs will be valid for this case without changes.

Lemma 2.5.5. *Assume that G is an adjoint group, and let $S \in \mathfrak{g}$ be semi-simple. Then there exists an algebraic group morphism $\nu : F^\times \to G$ (defined over F) with $d\nu(1) = S$ if and only if all the eigenvalues of S in the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{F})$ are integers, where $\bar{F}$ denotes the algebraic closure of F.*

Proof. Embed G into $G' := \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ using the adjoint action. Then any $\nu : F^\times \to G$ defines $\nu' : F^\times \to G'$. Note that a semi-simple $S' \in \mathfrak{g}'$ equals $d\nu'(1)$ for some $\nu' : F^\times \to G'$ if and only if all the eigenvalues of S' on $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{F})$ are integers. The "only if" part follows. For the "if" part, note that if $S = d\nu'(1)$ then $\mathrm{Im}(d\nu') \subset \mathfrak{g}$, hence $\mathrm{Im}(\nu') \in G$ and thus ν' defines $\nu : F^\times \to G$ with the required property. □

Corollary 2.5.6. *Let $S \in \mathfrak{g}$ be rational semi-simple. Then there exists an algebraic group morphism $\nu : F^\times \to G^{ad}$ and a central element $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $S - Z \in \mathrm{Im}(d\nu)$.*

Proof. Replacing S by an integer multiple we can assume that all the eigenvalues of S in the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{F})$ are integers. Thus there exists an algebraic group morphism from $F^\times$ to the adjoin group of G which includes the projection of S in its image. □

2.6. **Covering groups.** Let $\gamma = (e, h, f)$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$. Let G_γ denote the joint centralizer of the three elements of γ. It is well known that G_γ is a Levi subgroup of G_φ. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be given by the Killing form pairing with f. Recall that it induces a nondegenerate symplectic form ω_φ on $\mathfrak{g}_1^h$ and note that G_γ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_1^h$ preserving the symplectic form. That is, there is a natural map $G_\gamma \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}_1^h) = \mathrm{Sp}(\omega_\varphi)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}(\omega_\varphi)} \to \mathrm{Sp}(\omega_\varphi)$ be the metaplectic double covering, and set

$$\widetilde{G_\gamma} = G_\gamma \times_{\mathrm{Sp}(\omega_\varphi)} \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}(\omega_\varphi)}.$$

Observe that the natural map $\widetilde{G_\gamma} \to G_\gamma$ defines a double cover of G_γ. We denote by M_γ the subgroup of G_γ generated by the unipotent elements. Let $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ denote the preimage of M_γ under the projection $\widetilde{G_\gamma} \to G_\gamma$. Note that different choices of γ with the same f lead to conjugate groups G_γ and M_γ.

One can also define a covering $\widetilde{G_\varphi}$ of the group G_φ, using the symplectic form defined by φ on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\varphi$. It is easy to see that this cover splits over the unipotent radical of G_φ, and that the preimage of G_γ in $\widetilde{G_\varphi}$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$, see *e*.g. [Nev99].

Definition 2.6.1. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be a linear algebraic group defined over F, and fix an embedding $\mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_\mathbf{n}$. Denote by H_0 the open normal subgroup of $\mathbf{H}(F)$ generated by the image of the exponential map.

Note that H_0 does not depend on the embedding of $\mathbf{H}$ into GL_n. Note also that if $\mathbf{H}$ is semi-simple then $H_0 = \mathbf{H}(F)$ and if $F = \mathbb{R}$ then H_0 is the connected component of $\mathbf{H}(F)$. For a a finite central extension H' of H, we define H'_0 to be the preimage of H_0 under the projection $H' \twoheadrightarrow H$.

Definition 2.6.2 ([Nev99])**.** We say that a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is *admissible* if for some (equivalently, for any) choice of $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$, the covering $\widetilde{G_\varphi} \to G_\varphi$ splits over $(G_\varphi)_0$.

As observed in [Nev99], this definition of admissibility is compatible with Duflo's original definition for the archimedean case, given in [Duf80].

Definition 2.6.3. We say that a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is *quasi-admissible* if for some (equivalently, for any) $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$, the covering $\widetilde{G_\varphi} \to G_\varphi$ admits a finite dimensional *genuine* representation, that is, a finite dimensional representation on which the the non-trivial element ε in the preimage of $1 \in G_\varphi$ acts by $-\mathrm{Id}$.

Definition 2.6.4. Let us define the action of $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$ on π_φ. Since the adjoint action of G_γ preserves $\mathfrak{g}_1^h$ and the symplectic form on it, it preserves U/N'. Since σ_φ is the unique smooth irreducible representation of U/N' with central character χ_φ, we have a projective action of G_γ on σ_φ. By [Wei64] this action lifts to a genuine representation of $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$. This gives raise to an action of $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$ on $\mathcal{W}_\varphi$, which normalizes the action of G. This defines an action of $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$ on $\pi_\varphi = (\mathcal{W}_\varphi \otimes \pi)_G$.

3. Some archimedean technical lemmas

Let $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ denote the group of affine transformations of the line. Let N denote the unipotent radical of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ denote the Lie algebra of N. Let B^0 denote the connected component of the identity in $P_2(\mathbb{R})$

Proposition 3.0.1. *Let $V \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(B^0)$. Suppose that V is not generic, i.e. $(V^*)^{N,\psi} = 0$ for any non-trivial unitary character ψ of N. Then $\mathfrak{n}$ acts locally nilpotently on V^*.*

In the p-adic case, an analogous lemma is proven by Bernstein and Zelevinsky using l-sheaves. We will prove this proposition in §3.1 using [dCl91]. Let us now derive some corollaries.

Corollary 3.0.2. *Let $V \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(B^0)$ be non-zero. Then $(V^*)^{N,\psi} \neq 0$ for some (possibly trivial) unitary character ψ of N.*

Let Mp denote the metaplectic group $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}$, and $\mathfrak{s} := \mathrm{Lie}(Mp) = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ denote its Lie algebra.

Corollary 3.0.3. *Let $V \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(Mp)$ be non-zero and non-generic. Then any $\lambda \in V^*$ generates a finite-dimensional subrepresentation, and V has a (non-zero) finite-dimensional quotient. In particular, every irreducible genuine $V \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(Mp)$ is generic.*

Proof. Choose a standard basis e, h, f for $\mathfrak{s}$. Let B denote a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\widetilde{B} \subset Mp$ denote its preimage. Note that the connected component of $\widetilde{B}$ is isomorphic to B^0 as a Nash group. Thus Proposition 3.0.1 implies that both e and f act locally nilpotently on V^*. By e.g. [AG09, Lemma C.0.3] this implies that any $\lambda \in V^*$ generates a finite-dimensional subrepresentation W. This W non-degenerately pairs with a quotient of V, and thus this quotient is finite-dimensional. □

Lemma 3.0.4. *Let $0 \to V_1 \to V_2 \to V_3 \to 0$ be a short exact sequence in $\mathrm{Rep}^\infty(Mp)$. Suppose that both V_1 and V_3 are isomorphic as linear representations to direct sums of finite-dimensional ones. Then so is V_2.*

Proof. This follows by transfinite induction from the complete reducibility of finite-dimensional representations. □

Corollary 3.0.5. *Let $V \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(Mp)$ be non-generic. Then there exists a positive integer m such that both V and V^* are isomorphic as linear representations to direct sums of representations of dimension at most m.*

Proof. First of all, by Zorn's lemma there exists a subrepresentation $U \subset V^*$ maximal under the condition that U is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite-dimensional representations. Now take any $\lambda \in V^*$ and let W be the subrepresentation generated by λ. By Corollary 3.0.3 W is finite-dimensional. Thus, by Lemma 3.0.4, $U + W$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite-dimensional representations. By the maximality of U we have $\lambda \in U$. This shows $U = V^*$.

Now, by Zorn's lemma there exists a closed subrepresentation $E \subset V$ minimal under the condition that V/E is isomorphic to a direct sum of finite-dimensional representations. Suppose by way of contradiction that $E \neq 0$. Let us show that E is not generic. Indeed, for any non-trivial unitary character ψ of N we have an exact sequence $(V^*)^{(N,\psi)} \to (E^*)^{(N,\psi)} \to H^1(\mathfrak{n}, (V/E)^* \otimes \psi)$. Since V/E is not generic, $\mathfrak{n}$ acts locally nilpotently on $(V/E)^*$ by Proposition 3.0.1. Thus $H^1(\mathfrak{n}, (V/E)^* \otimes \psi) = 0$, and since $(V^*)^{(N,\psi)} = 0$ we have $(E^*)^{(N,\psi)} = 0$.

Thus Corollary 3.0.3 implies that E has a finite-dimensional quotient E/K. Then we have a short exact sequence $0 \to E/K \to V/K \to V/E \to 0$ of representations of Mp with E/K finite-dimensional and V/E a direct sum of finite-dimensionals. One can show by transfinite induction that this sequence splits. Thus V/K is also a direct sum of finite-dimensionals. This contradicts the minimality of E. Thus $E = V$.

Now, pick a standard basis e, h, f for $\mathfrak{s}$. Since V is a direct sum of finite-dimensionals, e acts locally nilpotently. Thus $V = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \operatorname{Ker} e^k$. By the Baire category theorem, there exists

k such that $V = \mathrm{Ker}(e^k)$. By the same reasoning, f^l acts by zero on E for some l. Thus we can take $m = \min(k, l)$. □

3.1. **Proof of Proposition 3.0.1.**

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let V be a Fréchet module over the Fréchet algebra of power series $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$. Then t acts locally nilpotently on the dual space V^*.*

Proof. Any $\lambda \in V^*$ and $v \in V$ define a distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ supported at 0 by $\xi_{\lambda,v}(f) := \lambda(\bar{f}v)$, where $\bar{f}$ denotes the Taylor series of f at 0. Then, for any $\lambda \in V^*$ there exists k such that $t^k\xi_{\lambda,v} = 0$, and thus $\lambda(t^k v) = 0$. Thus $V = \cup \mathrm{Ker}(x^k\lambda)$. Since V is metrizable, the Baire category theorem implies that $\mathrm{Ker}(t^k\lambda) = V$ for some k. □

Corollary 3.1.2. *Consider the Fréchet algebra of Schwartz functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, under multiplication, and let V be a Fréchet $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$-module annihilated by the ideal $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $f(0) = 0$. Then f acts locally nilpotently on V^*.*

Using Fourier transform we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1.3. *Let $V \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let*

$$A := \{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \forall k. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k f(t)dt = 0\}. \tag{6}$$

Suppose that $AV = 0$. Then $\frac{d}{dt}$ acts locally nilpotently on V^*.

Definition 3.1.4 ([dCl91, §2])**.** Let G be an affine Nash group and X be an affine Nash G-space. A $(G, \mathcal{S}(X))$-*module* is a representation $E \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$ with a continuous action π of the Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{S}(X)$ satisfying $g\pi(f)g^{-1} = \pi(f^g)v$, where $f^g(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$. We say that E is *non-degenerate* if the action map $\mathcal{S}(X) \otimes E \to E$ has dense image, and for every $v \neq 0 \in E$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ with $\pi(f)v \neq 0$.

We denote the category of $(G, \mathcal{S}(X))$-modules by $\mathrm{Rep}_{G,X}$ and the subcategory of non-degenerate modules by $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{nd}}_{G,X}$.

Theorem 3.1.5 ([dCl91, Lemma 2.5.7 and Theorem 2.5.8])**.** *Let G be an affine Nash group and X be a transitive Nash G-space. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(X) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{S}(X)$. Fix $x_0 \in X$ and let $m_{x_0} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(X)$ denote the maximal ideal consisting of functions vanishing at x_0. Let $E \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{nd}}_{G,X}$. Then $m_{x_0}E$ is a closed proper subspace of E.*

Proof of Proposition 3.0.1. Let the algebra A from (6) act on V using the identification $N \simeq \mathbb{R}$. By Corollary 3.1.3 it is enough to show that $AV = 0$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $AV \neq 0$ and let E denote the closure of AV. Note that Fourier transform defines an isomorphism $A \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. We further identify $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with the Nash manifold X of non-trivial unitary characters of N. The action of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ on X is by multiplication by the reductive part, and the action of B^0 has two orbits: X_+ and X_-

We let $\mathcal{S}(X)$ act on E through A, and note that this is compatible with the action of the group B^0. Theorem 3.1.5 implies that either $(E^*)^{N,\psi} \neq 0$ for any $\psi \in X_+$ or $(E^*)^{N,\psi} \neq 0$ for any $\psi \in X_-$. Fix a ψ with $(E^*)^{N,\psi} \neq 0$. From the short exact sequence

$$0 \to (V/E)^* \to V^* \to E^* \to 0$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$(V^*)^{(N,\psi)} \to (E^*)^{(N,\psi)} \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{n}, (V/E)^* \otimes \psi).$$

Note that $\mathfrak{n}$ acts locally nilpotently on $(V/E)^*$ by Corollary 3.1.3. Thus the action of any generator of $\mathfrak{n}$ on $(V/E)^* \otimes \psi$ is invertible and hence $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{n}, (V/E)^* \otimes \psi) = 0$. Since $(E^*)^{N,\psi} \neq 0$

we obtain that $(V^*)^{(N,\psi)} \neq 0$, which contradicts the conditions of the proposition. Thus $AV = 0$ and thus $\mathfrak{n}$ acts locally nilpotently on V^*. □

4. Proof of Theorem D

Fix a Whittaker pair (S, φ). Let $G \cdot \varphi$ denote the coadjoint orbit of φ and $\overline{G \cdot \varphi}$ denote its closure.

Lemma 4.0.1 ([GGS, Lemma 3.0.2])**.** *There exists $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0^S$ such that $(S - Z, \varphi)$ is a neutral Whittaker pair.*

Fix Z be as in the lemma and let $h := S - Z$. For any rational number $t \geq 0$ define

$$S_t := S_{t,Z} := S + (t-1)Z, \quad \mathfrak{u}_t := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^{S_t}, \quad \mathfrak{v}_t := \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^{S_t}, \text{ and } \mathfrak{w}_t := \mathfrak{g}_1^{S_t}. \tag{7}$$

Definition 4.0.2. We call t *regular* if $\mathfrak{u}_t = \mathfrak{u}_{t+\varepsilon}$ for any small enough $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$. Observe that this is equivalent to $\mathfrak{w}_t \subset \mathfrak{g}_Z$. If t is not regular we call it *critical*. For convenience, we will say that 0 is critical.

Note that for any Z and φ there are only finitely many critical numbers. Recall the anti-symmetric form ω on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by $\omega(X, Y) = \varphi([X, Y])$.

Lemma 4.0.3 ([GGS, Lemma 3.2.6])**.**

(i) The form ω is $ad(Z)$-invariant.
(ii) The radical of ω is $\operatorname{Rad}\omega = \mathfrak{g}_\varphi = \mathfrak{g}^f \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}^h$.
(iii) $\operatorname{Rad}(\omega|_{\mathfrak{w}_t}) = \operatorname{Rad}(\omega) \cap \mathfrak{w}_t$.
(iv) $\operatorname{Rad}(\omega|_{\mathfrak{u}_t}) = \mathfrak{v}_t \oplus \operatorname{Rad}(\omega|_{\mathfrak{w}_t})$.
(v) $\mathfrak{w}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_\varphi \subset \mathfrak{u}_T$ for any $t < T$.

Choose a Lagrangian $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^Z \cap \mathfrak{g}_1^S$ and let

$$\mathfrak{l}_t := \mathfrak{m} + (\mathfrak{u}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<0}^Z) + \operatorname{Rad}(\omega|_{\mathfrak{u}_t}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{r}_t := \mathfrak{m} + (\mathfrak{u}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^Z) + \operatorname{Rad}(\omega|_{\mathfrak{u}_t}). \tag{8}$$

Lemma 4.0.4. *Let $0 \leq t < T$ and suppose that there are no critical numbers in (t, T). Then*

$$\mathfrak{l}_T = \mathfrak{r}_t \oplus (\mathfrak{w}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_\varphi). \tag{9}$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{r}_t$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{l}_T$ with commutative quotient and $\mathfrak{v}_T$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{r}_t$ with commutative quotient.

Proof. Decomposing to the eigenspaces of $ad(Z)$ we obtain

$$\mathfrak{r}_t = \mathfrak{m} \oplus (\mathfrak{w}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^Z) \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^Z) \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<0}^Z) \tag{10}$$

$$\mathfrak{l}_T = \mathfrak{m} \oplus (\mathfrak{w}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<0}^Z) \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<0}^Z) \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^Z) \oplus (\mathfrak{w}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_\varphi) \tag{11}$$

Since there are no critical numbers in (t, T) we have

$$\mathfrak{v}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<0}^Z = (\mathfrak{w}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<0}^Z) \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<0}^Z) \tag{12}$$

$$\mathfrak{v}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^Z = (\mathfrak{w}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^Z) \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^Z) \tag{13}$$

This implies $\mathfrak{l}_T = \mathfrak{r}_t \oplus (\mathfrak{w}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{v}_T \subset \mathfrak{r}_t$. The rest is straightforward. □

4.1. **Basic comparison lemmas.**

Lemma 4.1.1 ([BZ76, Lemma 5.10])**.** *Assume that $F \neq \mathbb{R}$ and let A be a finite-dimensional vector space over F, viewed as an l-group. Let ρ be a smooth representation of A. Suppose that $\mathrm{Hom}(\rho, \chi) = 0$ for every non-trivial smooth character χ of A. Then ρ is a trivial representation.*

Our main tools are Lemma 4.1.1, Proposition 3.0.1 and the following notion.

Definition 4.1.2. We say that (S, φ, φ') is a *Whittaker triple* if (S, φ) is a Whittaker pair and $\varphi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^S_{>-2}$.

For a Whittaker triple (S, φ, φ') we define a smooth representation of G that we call a *quasi-Whittaker model* and denote $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi,\varphi'}$, in the following way. Let

$$\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}^S_{\geq 1},\ \mathfrak{v} := \mathfrak{g}^S_{>1},\ \mathfrak{z} := \mathfrak{v} \oplus ((\mathfrak{g}^S_1) \cap \mathfrak{g}_\varphi) \text{ and } \mathfrak{k} \text{ be the kernel of } \varphi + \varphi' \text{ on } \mathfrak{z}.$$

Then $\mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{u})/\mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{k})$ is a Heisenberg group with center $\mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{z})/\mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{k})$, and $\varphi + \varphi'$ is a character of this center. Let $\sigma_{\varphi,\varphi'}$ denote the oscillator representation corresponding to this character. Continue $\sigma_{\varphi,\varphi'}$ trivially to $\mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{u})$ and let $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi,\varphi'} := \mathrm{ind}^G_{\mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{u})} \sigma_{\varphi,\varphi'}$ denote its Schwartz induction to G (see Definition 2.3.2).

Note that for $\varphi' = 0$ we obtain the degenerate Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi}$. Note also that φ' vanishes on $[\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}]$ and thus $\varphi + \varphi'$ defines the same anti-symmetric form on $\mathfrak{u}$ as φ.

Remark 4.1.3. Let a be the first eigenvalue of S bigger than 1. Then

$$\mathrm{ind}^G_{\mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{v})} \chi_{\varphi+\varphi'} = \mathcal{W}_{a^{-1}S,0,\varphi+\varphi'}.$$

For $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$ define $\pi_{S,\varphi,\varphi'} := (\mathcal{W}_{S,\varphi,\varphi'} \otimes \pi)_G$. Recall that if $F = \mathbb{R}$ then $\otimes$ denotes the completed tensor product. We will say that π is (S, φ, φ')-distinguished if $\pi_{S,\varphi,\varphi'} \neq 0$. We will denote by $\mathrm{QWO}(\pi)$ the set of all orbits $\mathcal{O}$ for which there exists a Whittaker triple (S, φ, φ') such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$ and π is (S, φ, φ')-distinguished. The set of maximal orbits in $\mathrm{QWO}(\pi)$ will be denoted $\mathrm{QWS}(\pi)$.

Till the end of the subsection we let $T > t \geq 0$ be such that there are no critical numbers in (t, T). We also fix $\varphi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-2} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{>-2}$ and $\psi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-2} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{-2}$. Let $L_t := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{l}_t)$, $R_t := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{r}_t)$ and let $\chi := \chi_{\varphi+\varphi'+\psi}$ be the character of these groups given by $\varphi + \varphi' + \psi$.

Similarly to Lemma 2.5.3 we have

Lemma 4.1.4.

$$(\pi \otimes \chi_{\varphi+\varphi'})_{L_t} \simeq (\pi \otimes \chi_{\varphi+\varphi'})_{R_t} \simeq \pi_{S_t,\varphi,\varphi'}.$$

Let $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ be the unique nilpotent element corresponding to φ by the Killing form. Let $h := S - Z$ and let $\gamma = (e, h, f)$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple.

Lemma 4.1.5. *Assume that for any non-zero $\psi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{-1} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e$ we have*

$$\pi_{S_T,\varphi,\varphi'+\psi+\psi'} = 0.$$

Then

(a) If F is non-archimedean then $(\pi \otimes \chi)_{L_T} = (\pi, \chi)_{R_t}$. In other words, any (R_t, χ)-equivariant functional on π is automatically (L_T, χ)-equivariant.

(b) If $F = \mathbb{R}$ then the commutative Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{l}_T/\mathfrak{r}_t$ acts on $((\pi \otimes \chi)_{R_t})^*$ locally nilpotently and if $(\pi \otimes \chi)_{R_t} \neq 0$ then $((\pi \otimes \chi)_{R_t})_\mathfrak{a} = (\pi \otimes \chi)_{L_T} \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $\rho := \pi_{R_t,\chi}$. The quotient $A := L_T/R_t$ acts on ρ.

(a) We have to show that the action of A on ρ is trivial, By Lemmas 4.1.1 and 4.0.4, it is enough to show that for any non-trivial character χ' of A, $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, \chi') = 0$. By Lemma

4.0.4, characters of A are given by elements of $(\mathfrak{w}_T \cap \mathfrak{g}_\varphi)^* \cong (\mathfrak{w}_T^*)^e$. For $\psi' \in (\mathfrak{w}_T^*)^e$ and the corresponding character $\chi'_{\psi'}$ of A we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, \chi'_{\psi'}) = (\pi_{S_T,\varphi,\varphi'+\psi+\psi'})^* = 0.$$

(b) Note that for any $X \in \mathfrak{a}$, the action of the Lie algebra generated by S_T and X on ρ can be extended to the action of the corresponding subgroup of G. This subgroup is isomorphic to the group $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ of affine transformations of the line. Thus we use Proposition 3.0.1 instead of Lemma 4.1.1 and continue as in (a). □

Lemma 4.1.6. *Assume that π is $(S_t, \varphi, \varphi' + \psi)$-distinguished. Then for some (possibly zero) $\psi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{-1}$, π is $(S_T, \varphi + \psi, \varphi' + \psi')$-distinguished.*

Proof. Consider the form $\omega'(X, Y) := (\varphi + \psi + \varphi')([X, Y])$. The restrictions of this form to $\mathfrak{r}_t$ and to $\mathfrak{v}_T$ are trivial. Thus there exists a maximal totally isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{u}_T$ with $\mathfrak{r}_t \subset \mathfrak{l}$. Let $L := \mathrm{Exp}(\mathfrak{l})$. Since $\mathfrak{v}_T \subset \mathfrak{r}_t$, the characters of $A := L/R_t$ are given by a quotient of $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{-1}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1.1 and Corollary 3.0.2, for some $\psi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{-1}$ we have

$$0 \neq \mathrm{Hom}_A(\pi_{R_t,\chi}, \chi'_{\psi'}) = (\pi_{S_T,\varphi+\psi,\varphi'+\psi'})^*.$$

□

4.2. **Key propositions.** Let $S, \varphi, h, f, e, Z, S_t$ be as before.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let $T > t \geq 0$. Let $\varphi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-2} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{>-2}$. Then we have an epimorphism*

$$\nu : \pi_{S_t,\varphi,\varphi'} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{S_T,\varphi,\varphi'}.$$

Moreover, if π is not $(S_s, \varphi, \varphi' + \psi')$-distinguished for any $s \in (t, T)$ and any non-zero $\psi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_s}_{-1} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e$ then

(i) If F is non-archimedean then ν is an isomorphism.

(ii) π is (S_t, φ, φ')-distinguished if and only if π is (S_T, φ, φ')-distinguished.

Proof. Let $t_0 := t, t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ be all the critical values between t and T and $t_n := T$. By Lemmas 4.0.4, 2.3.6, and 4.1.4 we have

$$\pi_{S_t,\varphi,\varphi'} \simeq (\pi \otimes \chi)_{R_t} \twoheadrightarrow (\pi \otimes \chi)_{L_{t_1}} \simeq (\pi \otimes \chi)_{R_{t_1}} \twoheadrightarrow \ldots \twoheadrightarrow (\pi \otimes \chi)_{L_{t_n}} \simeq \pi_{S_T,\varphi,\varphi'}.$$

The "moreover" part follows from Lemma 4.1.5 □

Proposition 4.2.2. *Let $t \geq 0$ and let $\eta \neq 0 \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-2} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e$. Suppose that π is (S_t, φ, η)-distinguished. Then there exist $T > t$, $\Phi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{-2}$, and $\Phi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{>-2}$ such that $\varphi \in \overline{G\Phi} \setminus G\Phi$ and π is (S_T, Φ, Φ')-distinguished.*

Proof. Since $\eta \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e \subset \mathfrak{g}^h_{\geq 0}$, we have $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^Z_{<0}$. Thus for some $s > t$ there exist $\psi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_s}_{-2} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e$ and $\varphi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_s}_{>-2} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e$ such that $\psi \neq 0$ and $\eta = \varphi' + \psi$. Note that $\varphi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_{s'}}_{>-2}$ for any $s' \in [t, s]$.

Let $a_0 := t$, let $a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ be the critical values between t and s and $a_m := s$. We prove the statement by induction on m.

The base case is $m = 1$, i.e. there are no critical values between t and s. Take $T := s$. Then Lemma 4.1.6 implies that $\pi_{S_T,\varphi+\psi,\varphi'+\psi'} \neq 0$ for some $\psi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{-1}$. Denote $\Phi := \varphi + \psi$ and $\Phi' := \varphi' + \psi'$.

Note that $\varphi \in \overline{G\Phi}$. Indeed, by Corollary 2.5.6, there exists an algebraic group morphism $\nu : F^\times \to G^{ad}$ and a central element $Z' \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $Z - Z' \in \mathrm{Im}(d\nu)$. Let $\lambda \in F^\times$ be small and $g := \nu(\lambda)$. Then $Ad^*(g)\varphi = \varphi$ and $Ad^*(g^n)\psi \to 0$. Note also that $G\Phi = G^{ad}\Phi$.

Note that Φ belongs to the Slodowy slice to $G\varphi$ at φ and thus $\varphi \notin G\Phi$.

The induction step easily follows from the base. □

Note that it is possible that $\Phi' = 0$.

Example 4.2.3. Let $G := \mathrm{GL}_4(F)$, $h := \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,1,-1), Z := \mathrm{diag}(0,0,1,1)$, $t := 3$. Identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$ using the trace form and let $\varphi := f := E_{21} + E_{43}$, $\eta := E_{14}$, where E_{ij} are elementary matrices. Then $e = E_{12} + E_{34}$ and $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^{S_t}_{-1} \cap \mathfrak{g}^e$. We have $s = 4$, $m = 1$, $\varphi' = 0$, $\psi = \eta$, $\Phi = \varphi + \psi$. Then Φ is regular nilpotent and $\varphi \in \overline{G\Phi} \setminus G\Phi$. Since $\mathfrak{g}^{S_4}_1 = 0$, we have $\Phi' = 0$.

For the next proposition we will need a couple of geometric lemmas, and a definition.

Lemma 4.2.4. *Let $\psi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^S_{-2} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^Z_{>0}$. Assume that $\varphi + \psi \in G\varphi$. Then $\varphi + \psi \in G_S\varphi$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.5.6, there exists an algebraic group morphism $\nu : F^\times \to G^{ad}$ and a central element $C \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $Z - C \in \mathrm{Im}(d\nu)$. Since Z commutes with φ and $\psi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^Z_{>0}$, this implies that there exists a sequence $t_n \to 0 \in F$ with $\varphi + t_n\psi \in G_S(\varphi + \psi)$ for every n. Thus $\varphi + t_n\psi \in G\varphi$ for every n. Consider the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^* = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e \oplus ad^*(\mathfrak{g})(\varphi)$. Since $ad(S)$ preserves all these spaces we have

$$(\mathfrak{g}^*)^S_{-2} = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^S_{-2} + ad^*(\mathfrak{g}^S_0)(\varphi),$$

Thus the map

$$\mu : ((\mathfrak{g}^*)^e \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^S_{-2}) \times G_S \to (\mathfrak{g}^*)^S_{-2} \text{ given by } \mu(X, g) := X + Ad^*(g)\varphi$$

is a submersion. Hence its image contains an open neighborhood of φ. Thus $\mathrm{Im}\,\mu$ contains $\varphi + t_n\psi$ for some n. Since $\varphi + t_n\psi \in G\varphi$, and the Slodowy slice $\varphi + (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e$ is strongly transversal to $G\varphi$, we obtain $\varphi + t_n\psi \in G_S\varphi$ and thus $\varphi + \psi \in G_S\varphi$. □

Definition 4.2.5. We will say that $t > 1$ is quasi-critical if either $\mathfrak{g}^{S_t}_1 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{g}^Z_0$ or $\mathfrak{g}^{S_t}_2 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{g}^Z_0$. We denote by $in(S, \varphi)$ the number of all quasi-critical $t > 1$.

Let us now show that $in(S, \varphi)$ does not depend on the decomposition $S = h + Z$.

Lemma 4.2.6 ([Bou75, §11])**.** *Let $h' \in \mathfrak{g}_S$ be a neutral element for f.*

(i) $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{ad}(f)) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad}(f))$ *is a subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$, which includes $h - h'$ and lies in $\mathfrak{g}^h_{<0}$.*

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a subalgebra such that all $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ are nilpotent and $[h, \mathfrak{n}] = \mathfrak{n}$. Then $\exp(\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{n}))h = h + \mathfrak{n}$.

Lemma 4.2.7. *Let $h' \in \mathfrak{g}_S$ be a neutral element for f. Then there exists a nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}_S$ such that* $\exp(\mathrm{ad}(X))(h) = h'$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{b} := \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{ad}(f)) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad}(f)) \cap \mathfrak{g}_S$. By the previous lemma this is a subalgebra that includes $Y := h' - h$ and all its elements are nilpotent. It is easy to see that $[h, \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{b}$, and thus there exists $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ such that $\exp(\mathrm{ad}(X))(h) = h + Y = h'$. □

Corollary 4.2.8. *The number $in(S, \varphi)$ depends only on (S, φ) and not on h.*

Proposition 4.2.9. *If $\varphi \in \mathrm{QWS}(\pi)$ then $\pi_\varphi \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\varphi \in \mathrm{QWS}(\pi)$, π is (S', φ, φ')-distinguished for some S', φ'. Without loss of generality we can assume $S = S'$. Suppose first that $Z = 0$. In this case we can assume $\varphi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^h_{-1}$. Also, in this case the form on $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{g}^h_{\geq 1}$ given by $\omega(X, Y) = \varphi([X, Y])$ has no radical. Thus we can choose a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathfrak{u}_t$ on which ψ' vanishes. Thus $\pi_{h,\varphi,\varphi'} \neq 0$ implies $\pi_{h,\varphi} \neq 0$.

Now we assume $Z \neq 0$ and prove the proposition by induction on $in(S,\varphi)$. For the base assume that $in(S,\varphi)=0$, and let t be such that all the positive eigenvalues of tZ are bigger than all the eigenvalues of h by at least 2. Then we have $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^Z_{>0} \subset (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{\geq 2}$, and $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^Z_{<0} \subset (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{\leq -2}$. This implies

$$(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-2} = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-1} \oplus ((\mathfrak{g}^*)^h_{-1} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^Z_0), \tag{14}$$

and by Lemma 4.1.6, π is (S_t,φ,ψ')-distinguished for some $\psi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-2}$. By (14) we have $\psi' = \eta_1+\eta_2$ with $\eta_1 \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-1}$ and $\eta_2 \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^h_{-1} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^Z_0$. Then η_1 vanishes on $\mathfrak{u}_t$, and η_2 vanishes on the radical of the form ω_φ on $\mathfrak{u}_t$. Thus we can choose a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathfrak{u}_t$ on which ψ' vanishes. Thus $\pi_{S_t,\varphi,\psi'} = \pi_{S_t,\varphi}$. By Proposition 4.2.1, π_φ maps onto $\pi_{S_t,\varphi}$. Since $\pi_{S_t,\varphi,\psi'} \neq 0$ we obtain $\pi_\varphi \neq 0$.

For the induction step let $t>1$ be the smallest quasi-critical number. By Lemma 4.1.6, π is $(S_t,\varphi+\psi,\eta')$-distinguished for some $\eta' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{>-2}$ and some $\psi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_{t_{i+1}}}_{-2} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^Z_{>0}$. Then $\varphi \in \overline{G(\varphi+\psi)}$. Since $\varphi \in \mathrm{QWS}(\pi)$, we have $\varphi \in G(\varphi+\psi)$, and by Lemma 4.2.4 $\varphi = g(\varphi+\psi)$ for some $g \in G_{S_t}$. Conjugating by g we get $in(S_t,\varphi+\psi) = in(S_t,\varphi) < in(S,\varphi)$. The induction hypothesis implies now that $\pi_{\varphi+\psi} \neq 0$. Thus $\pi_\varphi \neq 0$. □

Corollary 4.2.10. *We have* $\mathrm{QWS}(\pi) = \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$.

Example 4.2.11. Let $G := \mathrm{GL}_6(F)$, $h := \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,1,-1,1,-1)$, $Z := \mathrm{diag}(0,0,3,3,2.5,2.5)$. Identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$ using the trace form and let $\varphi := E_{21}+E_{43}+E_{65}$, $\varphi' := E_{14}+E_{45}$. Then the first quasicritical value of t is $t = 4/3$. We have $S_{4/3} = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,5,3,4\frac{1}{3},2\frac{1}{3})$. Then $E_{14} \in \mathfrak{g}^{S_{4/3}}_{-2}$ and $E_{45} \in \mathfrak{g}^{S_{4/3}}_{-4/3}$. By Lemma 4.1.6, π is $(S_{4/3},\varphi+E_{14},E_{45})$-distinguished, since $\mathfrak{g}^{S_{4/3}}_{-2} = 0$. Now, $\varphi \in \overline{G(\varphi+E_{14})} \setminus G(\varphi+E_{14})$ contradicting the assumption $\varphi \in \mathrm{QWS}(\pi)$.

Example 4.2.12. Let $G := \mathrm{GL}_6(F), S = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,5,3,4\frac{1}{3},2\frac{1}{3})$, $\varphi = E_{21}+E_{43}+E_{65}+E_{14}$,

$$\varphi' = E_{45},\ h = \mathrm{diag}(-1,-3,3,1,1,-1),\ Z = \mathrm{diag}(0,0,0,0,4/3,4/3).$$

The first quasicritical value of t is $3/2$. Thus π is $(S_{3/2}, E_{21}+E_{43}+E_{65}+E_{14}+E_{45})$-distinguished. Now, Proposition 4.2.1 implies $\pi_{E_{21}+E_{43}+E_{65}+E_{14}+E_{45}} \neq 0$.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem D.** First let us show that for all critical $t>0$ and all non-zero $\varphi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_t}_{-1} \cap (\mathfrak{g}^*)^e$ we have $\pi_{S,\varphi,\varphi'} = 0$. Suppose the contrary. Then by Proposition 4.2.2 for some $T>0$ there exist $\Phi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{-2}$ and $\Phi' \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{S_T}_{>-2}$ such that $\varphi \in \overline{G\Phi} \setminus G\Phi$ and π is (S_T,Φ,Φ')-distinguished. Thus there exists $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{QWS}(\pi)$ that includes Φ in its closure. By Corollary 4.2.10 we have $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$, which contradicts the assumption $G\varphi \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$.

Now let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n+1} = 1$ be all the critical $t \in [0,1]$. By Proposition 4.2.1, we have a sequence of $(\tilde{G}_\gamma)_Z$ -equivariant epimorphisms

$$\pi_\varphi = \pi_{S_{t_0},\varphi} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{S_{t_1},\varphi} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{S_{t_n},\varphi} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{S_{t_{n+1}},\varphi} = \pi_{S,\varphi} \tag{15}$$

that in the p-adic case are isomorphisms, and in the real case are non-zero. □

Remark 4.3.1. Under the assumption that π is unitary one might be able to construct an invariant scalar product on π_φ and deduce that the epimorphism of π_φ onto $\pi_{S,\varphi}$ is an isomorphism also for $F=\mathbb{R}$.

Example 4.3.2. Let $G := \mathrm{GL}(4,F)$ and let S be the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(3,1,-1,-3)$. Identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$ using the trace form and let $f := \varphi := E_{21}+E_{43}$, where E_{ij} are elementary

matrices. Then we have $S = h + Z$ with $h = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1)$ and $Z = \mathrm{diag}(2, 2, -2, -2)$. Thus $S_t = \mathrm{diag}(1 + 2t, -1 + 2t, 1 - 2t, -1 - 2t)$ and the weights of S_t are as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4t & 4t+2 \\ -2 & 0 & 4t-2 & 4t \\ -4t & -4t+2 & 0 & 2 \\ -4t-2 & -4t & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The critical numbers are $1/4$ and $3/4$. For $t \geq 3/4$, the degenerate Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}_{S_t,\varphi}$ is the induction $\mathrm{ind}_N^G \chi_\varphi$, where N is the group of upper-unitriangular matrices. The sequence of inclusions $\mathfrak{r}_0 \subset \mathfrak{l}_{1/4} \sim \mathfrak{r}_{1/4} \subset \mathfrak{l}_{3/4} = \mathfrak{r}_{3/4}$ is:

$$(16) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & - & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \subset \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & a & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & * & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & * & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \subset \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - & - & - \\ 0 & 0 & * & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here, both $*$ and $-$ denote arbitrary elements. $-$ denotes the entries in $\mathfrak{v}_t$ and $*$ those in $\mathfrak{w}_t = \mathfrak{g}_1^{S_t}$. The letter a denotes an arbitrary element, but the two appearances of a denote the same numbers. The passage from $\mathfrak{l}_{1/4}$ to $\mathfrak{r}_{1/4}$ is denoted by $\sim$. At $3/4$ we have $\mathfrak{l}_{3/4} = \mathfrak{r}_{3/4}$.

Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^\infty(G)$ with $G\varphi \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$. The sequence of epimorphisms (15) is given by the sequence of inclusions (16). To see that these epimorphisms are non-zero (and are isomorphisms for $F \neq \mathbb{R}$) we need to analyze the dual spaces to $\mathfrak{w}_{1/4}^f$ and $\mathfrak{w}_{3/4}^f$. These spaces are spanned by $E_{13} + E_{24}$ and by E_{23} respectively. Thus, the dual spaces are spanned by $E_{31} + E_{42}$ and by E_{32} respectively. Note that the joint centralizer of h, Z and φ in G acts on these spaces by scalar multiplications, identifying all non-trivial elements. By Proposition 4.2.1 it is enough to show that $\pi_{S_{1/4},\varphi,E_{31}+E_{42}} = 0$ and $\pi_{S_{3/4},\varphi,E_{32}} = 0$.

This is guaranteed by Propositions 4.2.2 and 4.2.9, but for the sake of the example let us show this more directly.

First assume by way of contradiction that $\pi_{S_{3/4},\varphi,E_{32}} \neq 0$. Note that $E_{32} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}^{S_1}$ and that $\mathfrak{w}_1 = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{u}_1 = \mathfrak{l}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_{3/4}$ and

$$\pi_{S_1,\varphi+E_{32}} \simeq \pi_{S_{3/4},\varphi,E_{32}} \neq 0.$$

Note that $\Phi := \varphi + E_{32} = E_{21} + E_{43} + E_{32}$ is a regular nilpotent element, and $S_1 = S = \mathrm{diag}(3, 1, -1, -3)$ is a neutral element for it. Thus $\pi_\Phi \neq 0$, contradicting the assumption that Φ is maximal in $\mathrm{WS}(\pi)$.

Now assume by way of contradiction that $\pi_{S_{1/4},\varphi,E_{31}+E_{42}} \neq 0$. Note that $E_{31} + E_{42} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}^{S_{1/2}}$ and that $\mathfrak{w}_{1/2} = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{l}_{1/2} = \mathfrak{u}_{1/2} = \mathfrak{r}_{1/4}$ and

$$\pi_{S_{1/2},\varphi+E_{31}+E_{42}} \simeq \pi_{S_{1/4},\varphi,E_{31}+E_{42}} \neq 0.$$

Note that $\Psi := \varphi + E_{31} + E_{42} = E_{21} + E_{43} + E_{31} + E_{42}$ is a regular nilpotent element, and $S_{1/2} = \mathrm{diag}(2, 0, 0, -2)$ is a neutral element for it. Thus $\pi_\Psi \neq 0$, contradicting the assumption that $G \cdot \varphi$ is maximal in $\mathrm{WO}(\pi)$.

5. Proof of Theorems B and C

5.1. **Proof of Theorem C.** It is enough to prove that for any homomorphism $\nu : \widetilde{SL_2(F)} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M_\gamma}$, the restriction of π_φ to $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ is locally finite and the dimension of the irreducible subrepresentations is bounded. To see that this will be enough in the archimedean

case, note that this implies that the action of the semi-simple elements of $[\mathfrak{g}_\gamma, \mathfrak{g}_\gamma]$ is locally finite, and the action of the nilpotent elements is nilpotent.

By Lemma 4.1.1 and Corollary 3.0.5, it is enough to show that the restriction is non-generic. Fix such an embedding ν and let (e', h', f') be the corresponding $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma \cap \mathfrak{g}_Z$, and let $\varphi' \in \mathfrak{g}$ denote the nilpotent element given by the Killing form pairing with f'. Then $(h + h'/2, \varphi, \lambda\varphi')$ is a Whittaker triple for any $\lambda \in F$. We have to show that π is not $(h + h'/2, \varphi, \lambda\varphi')$-distinguished for any $\lambda \neq 0$. Suppose the contrary. Then by Proposition 4.2.2 there exists a Whittaker triple (S, Φ, Ψ) with $\varphi \in \overline{G\Phi} \setminus G\Phi$ such that π is (S, Φ, Ψ)-distinguished. On the other hand, $G\varphi \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ and by Corollary 4.2.10 $\mathrm{WS}(\pi) = \mathrm{QWS}(\pi)$. This contradicts the existence of (S, Φ, Ψ).

5.2. **Proof of Theorem B.** By a quasi-cuspidal π we mean a smooth (not necessarily admissible or finitely-generated) representation such that the Jacquet module $r_P(\pi)$ vanishes for any proper parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$.

Let π be quasicuspidal and let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\mathcal{O}$ is not F-distinguished. Thus there exists a proper Levi subgroup $L \subset G$ and a nilpotent $f \in \mathfrak{l}$ such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$, where $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by the Killing form pairing with f. Let h be a neutral element for f in $\mathfrak{l}$. Choose a rational-semisimple element $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that L is the centralizer of Z, and all the positive eigenvalues of Z are bigger then all the eigenvalues of h. Let $P \subset G$ be the parabolic subgroup of G defined by Z, let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}^Z_{\geq 0}$ be its Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{g}^Z_{>0}$ be the nilradical of $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $S := h + Z$. By construction we have $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}^S_{>2}$ and thus the degenerate Whittaker quotient $\pi_{S,\varphi}$ is a quotient of $r_P\pi$. By Theorem D, the maximality of $\mathcal{O}$ implies $\pi_{S,\varphi} \simeq \pi_\varphi$. Thus $r_P\pi$ does not vanish, in contradiction with the condition that π is quasi-cuspidal. □

6. Proof of Theorem A, and relation to admissible and special orbits

6.1. **Proof of Theorem A.** Fix a nilpotent $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $\gamma = (e, h, f)$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple such that φ is given by pairing with f under the Cartan-Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $(G_\gamma)_{ss}$ be the the subgroup of G_γ generated by the exponents of the derived algebra for $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$, and $\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$ be the corresponding subgroup of $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$.

Let $\widetilde{K}_\gamma \subset \widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$ be the anisotropic (and hence compact) part.

Proposition 6.1.1. *If $F = \mathbb{R}$ then*

$$\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}} \cong \widetilde{M}_\gamma \times \widetilde{K}_\gamma / \Delta(\widetilde{M}_\gamma \cap \widetilde{K}_\gamma), \tag{17}$$

Proof. For the Lie algebras we have $[\mathfrak{g}_\gamma, \mathfrak{g}_\gamma] = \mathfrak{k}_\gamma \oplus \mathfrak{m}_\gamma$, thus

$$(G_\gamma)_{ss} \cong M_\gamma \times K_\gamma / \Delta(M_\gamma \cap K_\gamma). \tag{18}$$

Thus, in order to prove (17) it is enough to show that $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ and $\widetilde{K_\gamma}$ commute. Fix $\tilde{k} \in \widetilde{K_\gamma}$. By (18), the commutator map $\tilde{m} \mapsto \tilde{m}\tilde{k}\tilde{m}^{-1}\tilde{k}^{-1}$ maps $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ to $\{1, \varepsilon\}$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that the image is non-trivial. Then $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ is disconnected and thus $\widetilde{M_\gamma} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times M_\gamma$. Thus for some $m \in M_\gamma \subset \widetilde{M_\gamma}$, the commutator map $\tilde{k} \mapsto m\tilde{k}m^{-1}\tilde{k}^{-1}$ is non-trivial. Thus $\widetilde{K_\gamma}$ also splits, which implies that it commutes with $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$. □

Proposition 6.1.2. *If $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ has a genuine finite-dimensional representation then so does $\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$.*

Proof. Let ρ_0 be a genuine finite-dimensional representation of $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$.

Assume first $F \neq \mathbb{R}$. Let C' denote the kernel of ρ_0 and C denote the projection of C' to M_γ. Then C is a normal open subgroup of M_γ and thus $C = M_\gamma$. Since ρ is genuine, the projection $C' \to C$ is an isomorphism and thus defines a splitting of $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$. As in the proof of Proposition 6.1.1 one shows that there exists an open subgroup $K' \subset \widetilde{K_\gamma}$ that commutes with C' and includes ε. Since the quotient $(K'C')/C' \cong K'/(K' \cap C')$ is compact, it has a finite-dimensional genuine representation τ. By composing with the natural projection, τ lifts to a representation of $K'C'$. Since $K'C'$ is of finite index in $\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$, the induction of τ to $\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$ is still finite-dimensional (and genuine).

Assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{M}_\gamma}^{\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}} \rho_0)|_{\widetilde{K}_\gamma} = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{K}_\gamma \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma}^{\widetilde{K}_\gamma} (\rho_0|_{\widetilde{K}_\gamma \cap \widetilde{M}_\gamma}).$$

By the Peter-Weyl theorem, this implies that the induction has a finite-dimensional $\widetilde{K_\gamma}$-isotypic component ρ. By Proposition 6.1.1, $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ preserves ρ and thus ρ is a genuine finite-dimensional representation of $\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$. □

Proposition 6.1.3. *If $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ has a genuine finite-dimensional representation then so does $\widetilde{G}_\varphi$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{Z}$ denote the center of $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$ and let $\tilde{H} := \tilde{Z}\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$. Let us show that $\tilde{H}$ has a finite-dimensional genuine representation. By Proposition 6.1.2, $\widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$ has an irreducible genuine finite-dimensional representation (ρ_1, V_1).

Notice that $\tilde{Z} \cap \widetilde{(G_\gamma)_{ss}}$ acts on (ρ_1, V_1) by a character, that we will denote by χ_1. By the classical theory of Pontryagin duality for locally compact abelian groups, we can extend the character χ_1 to a character of $\tilde{Z}$, see [Dix57, Theorem 5]. This defines a genuine action of $\tilde{H}$ on ρ_1. Let ρ_2 be the induction of this representation to $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$. Since $\tilde{H}$ has finite index in $\widetilde{G_\gamma}$, ρ_2 is finite-dimensional. By composing ρ_2 with the epimorphism $\widetilde{G}_\varphi \to \widetilde{G_\gamma}$, we obtain a genuine finite-dimensional representation of $\widetilde{G_\varphi}$. □

Theorem A follows now from Theorem C and Proposition 6.1.3.

6.2. **Admissible, quasi-admissible and special orbits.**

Proposition 6.2.1. *Assume that F is non-archimedean. Then φ is quasi-admissible if and only if the cover $\widetilde{(G_\varphi)_0}$ (see §2.6) splits over an open normal subgroup of finite index.*

Proof. First, if the cover $\widetilde{(G_\varphi)_0}$ (see §2.6) splits over an open normal subgroup $H \subset (G_\varphi)_0$ of finite index then the cover $\widetilde{H}$ has a one-dimensional genuine representation. The induction of this representation to $\widetilde{(G_\varphi)_0}$ is still genuine and finite-dimensional.

Now assume that $\widetilde{G_\varphi}$ has a genuine finite-dimensional representation ρ. Restrict ρ to $\widetilde{(G_\varphi)_0}$ and let C denote the kernel of the restriction. Then C is an open normal subgroup. Let us show that it has finite index. Indeed, since ρ is finite-dimensional, Lemma 4.1.1 implies that C includes all the unipotent elements of $\widetilde{(G_\varphi)_0}$. Thus, C is cocompact and open and hence has finite index. Since ρ is genuine, the restriction of the covering map to C is one-to-one. Thus, the cover splits over the image of C in $(G_\varphi)_0$. □

Proposition 6.2.2. *All admissible orbits are quasi-admissible.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an admissible orbit, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}$ and let γ be a corresponding $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. Then, by definition, the cover splits over the group $(G_\varphi)_0$ generated by exponents of $\mathfrak{g}_\varphi$. This group includes M_γ and thus $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ splits, and has a genuine character χ. By Proposition 6.1.3 this implies that $\mathcal{O}$ is quasi-admissible. □

Remark 6.2.3. Any F-distinguished orbit is quasi-admissible, since for such orbits M_γ is trivial. Over non-archimedean F, F-distinguished orbits are admissible since the metaplectic cover splits over compact subgroups, see [MT16]. Over $F = \mathbb{R}$, the minimal orbit in $U(2,1)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-distinguished but not admissible. In general, the $\mathbb{R}$-distinguished orbits for semi-simple groups are classified in [PT04] (under the name compact orbits), and comparing this classification with the classification of admissible orbits in [Oht91a, Noe01a] we see that for the groups

$$SU(p,q)(\text{with } p,q \geq 1), EII, EV, EVI, EVIII, EIX \tag{19}$$

there exist $\mathbb{R}$-distinguished non-admissible orbits[1]. On the other hand, for other real simple groups, all $\mathbb{R}$-distinguished orbits are admissible. Thus it is possible that for simple groups not appearing in the list (19) admissibility is equivalent to quasi-admissibility. We conjecture that quasi-admissibility is equivalent to the splitting of $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ for all groups.

Proposition 6.2.4. *The Whittaker supports of minimal representations consist of minimal orbits.*

Proof. Let π be a minimal representation. By [Mat87] this implies that $\pi_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$ unless $\mathcal{O} = \{0\}$ or $\mathcal{O}$ is minimal. Since π is infinite-dimensional, Theorem C implies $\{0\} \notin \mathrm{WS}(\pi_{\min})$. □

Let us now discuss the relation to special orbits.

Theorem 6.2.5 ([Nev99, Nev02])**.** *Let F be non-archimedean. If G is classical then the set of admissible orbits coincides with the set of special orbits. If G is split exceptional then the set of admissible orbits includes the set of special orbits.*

For $F = \mathbb{R}$, the sets of special and admissible orbits coincide for orthogonal, symplectic and general linear groups. However, for unitary groups all orbits are special but most orbits are not admissible. See Theorem 6.2.6 below for these facts. Also, for several exceptional groups, some split and some non-split, there are special non-admissible orbits and admissible non-special orbits - see [Noe01a, Noe01b].

It is conjectured that in the non-Archimedean case the Whittaker support consists of special orbits. By [Moe96, JLS] this holds for classical (p-adic) groups.

The analogous conjecture cannot hold for exceptional G if $F = \mathbb{R}$. Namely, for the minimal representation $\pi_{\min}$ of $G_2(\mathbb{R})$ constructed in [Vog94], $\mathrm{WS}(\pi)$ consists of the minimal orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\min}$ of G_2, which is admissible but not special. The conjecture also cannot be extended to complex reductive groups.

Let us now prove Proposition 1.0.1 that states that admissibility, quasi-admissibility and speciality are equivalent for the groups $O(p,q)$, $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$. Our proof is based on Theorem 6.2.5 and the following theorem from [Oht91a]. For the formulation, recall that the nilpotent orbits in real classical groups are given by signed partitions satisfying certain conditions. Fortunately, the signs have no effect on the admissibility and speciality.

Theorem 6.2.6 ([Oht91a])**.** *Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a nilpotent orbit and λ be the corresponding partition.*

[1]For $SU(m,n)$, the $\mathbb{R}$-distinguished orbits are the ones described by partitions in which all rows of the same size have also the same signs, while admissible orbits are described in Theorem 6.2.6(ii) below.

(i) *Let G is one of the groups $O(p,q)$, $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$, $U(p,q)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathcal{O}$ is admissible if and only if for each even row (i.e., row with even length) in λ, the number of odd rows in λ, which are shorter than the even row, is even and for each odd row in λ, the number of even rows in λ, which are longer than the odd row, is even.*

(ii) *Let $G = SU(p,q)$. Then $\mathcal{O}$ is admissible if and only if for each even row (i.e., row with even length) in λ, the number of odd rows in λ, which are shorter than the even row, is even and for each odd row in λ, the number of even rows in λ, which are longer than the odd row, is even.*

(iii) *For all other real classical groups, all nilpotent orbits are admissible*

Proof of Proposition 1.0.1. First of all, comparing Theorem 6.2.6(i) to the description of special orbits in [CoMG93, §6.3], and using Theorem 6.2.5 (for the case $F \neq \mathbb{R}$ we see that for the groups $O(V)$, $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ the set of admissible orbits coincides with the set of special orbits. Next, by Proposition 6.2.2, this set is included in the set of quasi-admissible orbits. It is left to show that non-admissible orbits are not quasi-admissible either.

We will do it for the symplectic group, since the construction for the orthogonal case is very similar. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a non-admissible orbit and λ be the corresponding partition. Since every odd part in λ appears with even multiplicity, Theorems 6.2.6 and 6.2.5 imply that there exists an odd part λ_i in λ such that the number of even parts bigger than λ_i (counted with multiplicity) is odd. Let 2m be the multiplicity of λ_i in λ. By [Nev99, §5.3], the centralizer G_φ includes a group H isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(F)$, over which the cover does not split. Since $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{R})$ is simple and has no non-trivial algebraic covers, if $F = \mathbb{R}$ then $\widetilde{H}$ cannot have genuine finite-dimensional representations, and thus $\mathcal{O}$ is not quasi-admissible. If $F \neq \mathbb{R}$ then $\mathcal{O}$ is not quasi-admissible by Proposition 6.2.1. □

To complete the picture for real classical groups we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2.7 ([Kaz77, §3, Lemma 7])**.** *Let V be a Hermitian space (of arbitrary signature) and $SU(V)$ be the corresponding special unitary group. Consider V as a real vector space and define a symplectic form on V to be the real part of the hermitian form. Then the corresponding metaplectic cover of $SU(V)$ splits.*

Corollary 6.2.8. *All nilpotent orbits in $SU(p,q)$ and in $U(p,q)$ are quasi-admissible.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.1.3, it is enough to show that $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ splits for any $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\gamma = (e,h,f)$ in $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$. Let $W = \mathbb{C}^{p+q}$ denote the standard representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the fixed hermitian form on W of signature (p,q). Note that γ defines a decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} W(r)$, where $W(r)$ is the direct sum of all simple γ-submodules of highest weight r. For each r, let $H(r)$ denote the highest weight subspace of $W(r)$, and define a sesquilinear form on $H(r)$ by $\langle v, w \rangle_r := \langle v, f^r w \rangle$ if r is even and $\langle v, w \rangle_r := i\langle v, f^r w \rangle$ if r is odd. Since f is skew-hermitian, the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$ is hermitian. Note that $\langle v, w \rangle_r$ is non-degenerate for all r and that M_γ is isomorphic to $\prod_r SU(H(r))$.

By [Nev99, §5.3] (which is written uniformly for all fields F), the splitting on $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ is implied by the splitting of the metaplectic cover of $SU(H(r)) \times SU(H(r'))$ inside $\mathrm{Sp}(H(r) \otimes \overline{H(r')})$ for all pairs (r, r') of different parity. However, $SU(H(r)) \times SU(H(r'))$ is a subgroup of $SU(H(r) \otimes \overline{H(r')})$ and by Lemma 6.2.7 the metaplectic cover splits on the latter group. □

Theorems 6.2.5 and 6.2.6 and Corollary 6.2.8 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 6.2.9. *For classical groups, all special orbits are quasi-admissible.*

It is possible that all special orbits are quasi-admissible for all groups.

7. Global setting

Let K be a number field and let $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_K$ be its ring of adeles. In this section we let χ be a non-trivial unitary character of $\mathbb{A}$, which is trivial on K. Then χ defines an isomorphism between $\mathbb{A}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{A}}$ via the map $a \mapsto \chi_a$, where $\chi_a(b) = \chi(ab)$ for all $b \in \mathbb{A}$. This isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A}/K} \cong \{\psi \in \hat{\mathbb{A}} \,|\, |\psi|_K \equiv 1\} = \{\chi_a \,|\, a \in K\} \cong K. \tag{20}$$

Given an algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over K we will denote its Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}$ and we will denote the group of its adelic (resp. K-rational) points by $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ (resp. $\mathbf{G}(K)$). We will also define the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathfrak{g}(K)$ in a similar way.

Given a Whittaker pair (S, φ) on $\mathfrak{g}(K)$, we set $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{g}^S_{\geq 1}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ to be the radical of the form $\omega_\varphi|_{\mathfrak{u}}$, where $\omega_\varphi(X, Y) = \varphi([X, Y])$, as before. Let $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{u}$ be any choice of a maximal isotropic Lie algebra with respect to this form, and let $\mathbf{U} = \exp \mathfrak{u}$, $\mathbf{N} = \exp \mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathbf{L} = \exp \mathfrak{l}$. Observe that we can extend φ to a linear functional on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{A})$ by linearity and, furthermore, the character $\chi^L_\varphi(\exp X) = \chi(\varphi(X))$ defined on $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{A})$ is automorphic, that is, it is trivial on $\mathbf{L}(K)$. We will denote its restriction to $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{A})$ simply by χ_φ.

Let G be a finite central extension of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, such that the cover $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ splits over $\mathbf{G}(K)$. Fix a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ that projects isomorphically onto $\mathbf{G}(K)$. Note that $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{A})$ has a canonical lifting into G, see e.g. [MW95, Appendix I].

Definition 7.0.1. Let (S, φ) be a Whittaker pair for $\mathfrak{g}(K)$ and let $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{N}, \chi_\varphi$ and $\chi^{\mathbf{L}}_\varphi$ be as above. For an automorphic function f, we define its (S, φ)*–Fourier coefficient* to be

$$\mathcal{WF}_{S,\varphi}(f) := \int_{N(\mathbb{A})/N(K)} \chi_\varphi(n)^{-1} f(n) dn. \tag{21}$$

We also define its (S, φ, L)*–Fourier coefficient* to be

$$\mathcal{WF}^{\mathbf{L}}_{S,\varphi}(f) := \int_{L(\mathbb{A})/L(K)} \chi^L_\varphi(l)^{-1} f(l) dl. \tag{22}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{WF}_{S,\varphi}$ and $\mathcal{WF}^{\mathbf{L}}_{S,\varphi}$ define linear functionals on the space of automorphic forms. If (π, V_π) is an automorphic representation of G, then we will denote their restrictions to π by $\mathcal{WF}_{S,\varphi}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{WF}^{\mathbf{L}}_{S,\varphi}(\pi)$ respectively.

One defines quasi-Fourier coefficients in a similar way. In order to adapt our arguments to the global setting we will have to replace Lemma 4.1.4 by the following one.

Lemma 7.0.2 (cf. [GGS, Lemma 6.0.2])**.** *Let (π, V_π) be an automorphic representation of G. Let (S, φ, φ') be a Whittaker triple. Then $\mathcal{WF}_{S,\varphi,\varphi'}(\pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{WF}^{\mathbf{L}}_{S,\varphi,\varphi'}(\pi) \neq 0$. More specifically, if $\mathcal{WF}_{S,\varphi,\varphi'}(f) \neq 0$ for some $f \in \pi$ then $\mathcal{WF}^{\mathbf{L}}_{S,\varphi,\varphi'}(\pi(u)f) \neq 0$ for some $u \in U(K)$.*

Also, note that the global analog of Lemma 4.1.1 is proven by decomposition to Fourier series. For more details see [HS16, §5.2].

Repeating the arguments in §4-5 we obtain the following theorem.

Let π be an automorphic representation of G and let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*(K)$ be nilpotent.

Theorem 7.0.3. *Assume that $\mathbf{G}(K) \cdot \varphi \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$, and let $f \in \pi$. Then*

(i) For any rational semi-simple $S \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $ad^*(S)\varphi = -2\varphi$ there exists $f' \in \pi$ such that $\mathcal{WF}_{S,\varphi}(f') \neq 0$.

(ii) If π is cuspidal then φ does not belong to the Lie algebra of any Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(K)$ defined over K.

For $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$, part (i) generalizes [Cai, Proposition 5.3].

Part (ii) was conjectured in [Gin06]. It implies the following corollary.

Corollary 7.0.4. *Let π be an automorphic cuspidal representation of G. Assume that $\mathbf{G}(K) \cdot \varphi \in \mathrm{WS}(\pi)$. Then any Levi subgroup of the stabilizer of φ in $\mathbf{G}(K)$ is K-anisotropic. Moreover, assume that $\mathbf{G}$ is classical, and let λ be the partition corresponding to φ. Then*

(i) If $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n$ then λ consists of one part, i.e. π is generic.
(ii) If $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}_n$ then λ is totally even.
(iii) If $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SO}_n$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{O}_n$ then λ is totally odd, i.e. consists of odd parts only.

For GL_n this is a classical result of Piatetski-Shapiro, and the case of Sp_n was shown recently in [Shen16].

In order to formulate a global analog of Theorem C and deduce an analog of Theorem A, we will introduce the global Weil representation ϖ ([Wei64]) and Fourier-Jacobi coefficients, following [HS16, §5.2].

For a symplectic space V over K, ϖ_V is the only irreducible unitarizable representation of the double cover Jacobi group $\widetilde{J(V)} := \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}(V(\mathbb{A}))} \ltimes \mathcal{H}(V(\mathbb{A}))$ with central character χ, where $\mathcal{H}(V)$ is the Heisenberg group of V. It has an automorphic realization given by theta functions

$$\theta_f(g) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{E}(K)} \omega_\chi(g) f(a), \quad \text{where } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A})),\ \mathcal{E} \text{ is a Lagrangian subspace of } V, \text{ and } g \in \widetilde{J(V)}.$$

Fix an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\gamma = (x, h, y)$ in $\mathfrak{g}(K)$ and let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be given by the Killing form pairing with y. Let $V := \mathfrak{g}_1^h$, with the symplectic form $\omega_\varphi(A, B) := \varphi([A, B])$. Then we have a natural map $\ell_\gamma : U \rtimes \widetilde{G_\gamma} \to \widetilde{J(V)}$. We define a map $FJ : \pi \otimes \varpi_V \to C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash \widetilde{G_\gamma})$ by

$$f \otimes \eta \mapsto \int_{U(K)\backslash U(\mathbb{A})} f(u\tilde{g}) \theta_\eta(\ell_\gamma(u, \tilde{g})) du$$

Then, arguing as in §5.1 we obtain from Theorem 7.0.3 (i) the following corollary.

Corollary 7.0.5. *If $\varphi \in \mathrm{WF}(\pi)$ then the subgroup $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ acts on the image of FJ by $\pm \mathrm{Id}$.*

Since the Weil representation ϖ_V is genuine, the subgroup of $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ that acts on trivially on the image of FJ projects isomorphically on M_γ. This implies the following corollary.

Corollary 7.0.6. *If $\varphi \in \mathrm{WF}(\pi)$ then the cover $\widetilde{M_\gamma}$ splits over M_γ.*

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 1.0.1 we deduce from this corollary that if $\mathbf{G}$ is classical then all the orbits in $\mathrm{WF}(\pi)$ are special. This was already shown in [GRS03, Theorem 2.1] and [JLS, Theorem 11.2].

References

[AG08] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch: *Schwartz functions on Nash Manifolds,* International Mathematics Research Notices, Vol. 2008, n.5, Article ID rnm155, 37 pages. DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnm155.

[AG09] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch: *Generalized Harish-Chandra descent, Gelfand pairs and an Archimedean analog of Jacquet-Rallis' Theorem,* Duke Mathematical Journal, Volume 149, Number 3, 509-567 (2009). See also arXiv: 0812.5063[math.RT].

[BV82] D. Barbasch, D. A. Vogan: *Primitive ideals and orbital integrals in complex classical groups,* Math. Ann. **259**, 153-199 (1982).

[BV83] D. Barbasch, D. A. Vogan: *Primitive ideals and orbital integrals in complex exceptional groups,* J. Alg. **80**, 350-382 (1983).

[BK14] J. Bernstein, B. Kroetz: *Smooth Frechet Globalizations of Harish-Chandra Modules,* Israel Journal of Mathematics **199** (1), 45 - 111 (2014).

[BZ76] I.N. Bernstein, A.V. Zelevinsky: *Representations Of The Group GL(N,F), Where F Is A Non-Archimedean Local Field,* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 31:3 , 5-70 (1976).

[Bou75] N. Bourbaki: *Groupes et algebres de Lie*, Chap. 7 et 8. fasc. XXXVIII. Paris; Hermann (1975).

[Cai] Y. Cai:*Fourier Coefficients for Degenerate Eisenstein Series and the Descending Decomposition,* arXiv:1606.08872.

[Car85] R.W. Carter: *Finite groups of Lie type. Conjugacy classes and complex characters.* Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, (1985). xii+544 pp. ISBN: 0-471-90554-2.

[CoMG93] D. Collingwood, W. McGovern: *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras.* Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, xiv+186 pp (1993).

[dCl91] F. du Cloux: *Sur les représentations différentiables des groupes de Lie algébriques,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **24**, no. 3, 257–318 (1991).

[Dix57] J. Dixmier: *Quelques proprietes des groupes abeliens localement compacts,* Bull. Sci. Math. **81** 38-48 (1957).

[DM78] J. Dixmier, P. Malliavin: *Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indefiniment differentiables.* Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **102**, no. 4, 307-330 (1978).

[Duf80] M. Duflo: *Construction de repreesentations unitaires d'un groupe de Lie*, in Harmonic Analysis and Group Representations pp. 129-222, C.I.M.E. (1980).

[FS16] Y. Fang, B. Sun: *Chevalley's theorem for affine Nash groups,* J. Lie Theory **26**, no. 2, 359-369 (2016).

[GGS] R. Gomez, D. Gourevitch, S. Sahi: *Generalized and degenerate Whittaker models,* arXiv:1502.06483v4. To appear in Compositio Mathematica.

[Gin06] D. Ginzburg: *Certain conjectures relating unipotent orbits to automorphic representations,* Israel J. Math. **151**, 323-355 (2006).

[Gin14] D. Ginzburg: *Towards a classification of global integral constructions and functorial liftings using the small representations method*, Advances in Mathematics **254**, 157-186 (2014).

[GRS99] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry: *On a correspondence between cuspidal representations of GL_{2n} and $\widetilde{Sp}_{2n}$.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12**, no. 3, 849-907 (1999).

[GRS03] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry: *On Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms of symplectic groups,* Manuscripta math. **111**, 1-16 (2003).

[GRS11] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry: *The descent map from automorphic representations of GL(n) to classical groups,* World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ (2011). x+339 pp.

[Har12] B. Harris: *Tempered representations and nilpotent orbits,* Represent. Theory **16**, 610-619 (2012).

[How81] R. Howe: *Wave front sets of representations of Lie groups*, in Automorphic forms, representation theory and arithmetic (Bombay, 1979), pp. 117–140, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math., 10, Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay, (1981).

[HS16] J. Hundley, E. Sayag: *Descent Construction for GSpin Groups*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **243** n. 1 (2016).

[Jia07] D. Jiang: *Periods of automorphic forms*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Complex Geometry and Related Fields, Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39**, 125–148, American Mathematical Society and International Press (2007).

[JL13] D. Jiang and B. Liu: *On Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms of GL(n)*, International Mathematics Research Notices, pp. 4029-4071 (2013).

[JL16] D. Jiang and B. Liu: *Arthur parameters and Fourier coefficients for automorphic forms on symplectic groups*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **66**, pp. 477-519 (2016).

[JLS] D. Jiang, B. Liu and G. Savin: *Raising nilpotent orbits in wave-front sets*, arxiv:1412.8742.

[Jos85] A. Joseph: *On the associated variety of a primitive ideal*, Journal of Algebra **93** , no. 2, 509–523 (1985).

[Kaz77] D. Kazhdan: *Some applications of the Weil representation,* J. Anal. Math. **32**, 235-248 (1977).

[Kaw85] N. Kawanaka: "Generalized Gelfand-Graev representations and Ennola duality", in *Algebraic groups and related topics,* Advanced Studies in Pure Math. 6, pp. 175–206 (1985).

[Kos59] B. Kostant: *The Principal Three-Dimensional Subgroup and the Betti Numbers of a Complex Simple Lie Group*, American Journal of Mathematics. **81**, No. 4 973-1032 (1959).

[Kos78] B. Kostant: *On Whittaker vectors and representation theory*, Invent. Math. **48**, 101-184 (1978).

[LaMao14] E. Lapid, Z. Mao: *Model transition for representations of metaplectic type*, Int Math Res Notices, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnu225 (2014). See also arXiv:1403.6787.

[LS08] H. Y. Loke, G. Savin: *On minimal representations of Chevalley groups of type D_n, E_n and G_2.* Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 1, 195-208.

[Mat87] H. Matumoto: *Whittaker vectors and associated varieties*, Invent. math. **89**, 219-224 (1987).
[Ma92] H. Matumoto: *$C^{-\infty}$-Whittaker vectors corresponding to a principal nilpotent orbit of a real reductive linear Lie group, and wave front sets,* Compositio Math. **82**, 189–244 (1992).
[Moe96] C. Moeglin: *Front d'onde des representations des groupes classiques p-adiques*, Amer. J. Math. **118**, no. 6, 1313-1346 (1996).
[MW87] C. Moeglin, J.L. Waldspurger: *Modeles de Whittaker degeneres pour des groupes p-adiques,* Math. Z. **196**, no. 3, pp 427-452 (1987).
[MW95] C. Moeglin, J.L. Waldspurger: *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series. Une paraphrase de l'Ecriture [A paraphrase of Scripture].* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **113**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995). xxviii+338 pp. ISBN: 0-521-41893-3
[MT16] K. Maktouf, P. Torasso: *Restriction de la representation de Weil a un sous-groupe compact maximal,* J. Math. Soc. Japan **68**, No. 1, 245-293 (2016). doi: 10.2969/jmsj/06810245.
[Nev99] M. Nevins: *Admissible nilpotent coadjoint orbits of p-adic reductive Lie groups*, Representation Theory **3**, 105-126 (1999).
[Nev02] M. Nevins: *Admissible nilpotent orbits of real and p-adic split exceptional groups*, Representation theory **6**, 160-189 (2002).
[Noe01a] A. G. Noel: *Classification of admissible nilpotent orbits in simple exceptional real Lie algebras of inner type*, Represent. Theory **5**, 455–493 (2001).
[Noe01b] A. G. Noel: *Classification of admissible nilpotent orbits in simple real Lie algebras $E_{6(6)}$ and $E_{6(26)}$,* Represent. Theory **5**, 494-502 (2001).
[NPS73] M. E. Novodvorskii, I. Piatetski-Shapiro: *Generalized Bessel models for a symplectic group of rank* 2, (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 90 (132), 246–256 (1973).
[Oht91a] T. Ohta: *Classification of admissible nilpotent orbits in the classical real Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **136**, no. 2, 290–333 (1991).
[PT04] V. L. Popov, E. A. Tevelev: *Self-dual Projective Algebraic Varieties Associated With Symmetric Spaces,* Algebraic Transformation Groups and Algebraic Varieties, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 132, Subseries Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, Vol. III, Springer-Verlag (2004)
[Ros95] W. Rossmann: *Picard-Lefschetz theory and characters of a semisimple Lie group.* Inventiones Mathematicae 121, 579-611 (1995).
[Sh74] J. A. Shalika: *The multiplicity one theorem for* GL_n*,* Ann. of Math. 100, 171–193 (1974).
[Shen16] X. Shen: *Top Fourier Coefficients for Cuspidal Representations of Symplectic Groups,* International Mathematics Research Notices, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnw073 (2016).
[Sun15] B. Sun: *Almost linear Nash groups*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **36** , no. 3, 355-400 (2015). See also arXiv:1310.8011.
[Tre67] F. Treves: *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*, Academic Press, New York-London xvi+624 pp (1967).
[Var14] S. Varma: *On a result of Moeglin and Waldspurger in residual characteristic 2*, Math. Z. **277**, no. 3-4, 1027–1048 (2014).
[Vog91] D. A. Vogan: *Associated varieties and unipotent representations* in *Harmonic analysis on reductive groups* (Brunswick, ME, 1989), pp. 315–388, Progr. Math., 101, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA (1991).
[Vog94] D. A. Vogan: *The Unitary Dual of G_2*, Invent. Math. **116**, 677-791 (1994).
[Wall88] N. R. Wallach: *Lie Algebra Cohomology and Holomorphic Continuation of Generalized Jacquet Integrals*, Advanced Studies in Math, vol 14, 123–151 (1988).
[Wall92] N. Wallach: *Real Reductive groups I,II*, Pure and Applied Math. **132**, Academic Press, Boston, MA (1988,1992).
[Wei64] A. Weil: *Sur certain group d'operateurs unitaires*, Acta Math. 111, 143–211 (1964).
[Ya86] H. Yamashita: *On Whittaker vectors for generalized Gelfand-Graev representations of semisimple Lie groups,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 26, no. 2, 263–298 (1986).
[Ya01] H. Yamashita: "Cayley transform and generalized Whittaker models for irreducible highest weight modules" in *Nilpotent Orbits, Associated Cycles and Whittaker Models for Highest weight Representations,* Astérisque 273, 81–137 (2001).

Raul Gomez, Department of Mathematics, 593 Malott Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA
E-mail address: gomez@cornell.edu
URL: http://www.math.cornell.edu/~gomez/

Dmitry Gourevitch, The Incumbent of Dr. A. Edward Friedmann Career Development Chair in Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Weizmann Institute of Science, 234 Herzl Street, Rehovot 7610001 Israel
E-mail address: dmitry.gourevitch@weizmann.ac.il
URL: http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~dimagur

Siddhartha Sahi, Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Hill Center - Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Road Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA
E-mail address: sahi@math.rugers.edu